## Late Eocene (Priabonian) Planktic Foraminifera from Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates

Haidar Salim Anan

Emeritus, former Professor of Stratigraphy and Micropaleontology of Ain Shams University (Egypt), United Arab Emirates University (UAE), and Vice President of Al Azhar University-Gaza (Palestine), P. O. Box 1126. Received 11<sup>th</sup> October 2021; Accepted 30<sup>th</sup> May 2022

#### Abstract

Thirty two planktic foraminiferal species belonging to seven genera: *Catapsydrax* (two species), *Subbotina* (8 species), *Globigerinatheka* (4 species), *Cribrohantkenina* (1 species), *Hantkenina* (3 species), *Dentoglobigerina* (3 species), *Pseudohastigerina* (1 species), *Turborotalia* (9 species), *Chiloguembelina* (1 species) of the time interval corresponding to the Late Eocene (Priabonian) from the top part of Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation from the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit anticline, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates (UAE) are identified and twenty five of them are illustrated. The taxonomic consideration, biostratigraphic position, and probable phylogeny of these species are presented. The number of the Late Eocene planktic foraminifera species in the current study yields a higher number (32 species) than others in or outside the Al Ain area, UAE. Three planktic foraminiferal biozones (Tripartite) are recognized in the current study, according to the modern biozonation (after Berggren and Pearson, 2005, and Wade et al., 2011), from base to top: *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta* Zone (E14), *G. index* Zone (E15), and *Hantkenina alabamensis* Zone (E16). The temporal distribution is also compared with the same stratigraphic horizon in the surrounding areas in Al Ain (UAE and Oman border), and some other Tethyan localities. The identified species are recognized in different localities in the Tethys: the Atlantic Ocean (USA, Mexico, Trinidad), the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, Oman, Pakistan, India, Indian Ocean, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand, Australia).

© 2023 Jordan Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences. All rights reserved

Keywords: Fiber in concrete, Plastic waste, Recycling, Concrete properties, Waste management.

#### 1. Introduction

The present paper is one of a series of studies of planktic and benthic foraminiferal assemblages from the Maastrichtian-Paleogene succession of the Al Ain area. The current work presents a review of the complete record of the foraminiferal content of the Late Eocene of the upper part of Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation of the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit (the upper part of Tle5 of Hunting, 1979), in the Al Ain, UAE (Fig. 1). This succession is composed of different lithologies, from base to top: shale, marl, phosphatica limestone, shale, marl, glauconitic limestone, marl in the top, separated by a conglomeratic limestone as an unconformity (P15/P16). This late Eocene succession is compared with the synchronous outcrops in Al Ain-Al Buraimi area, west of the Northern Oman Mountains, which are located at the border line between the western limb of Jabal Malaqet, UAE (Anan, 1995) and J. Qatar, to the east of J. Malaqet in the Sultanate of Oman (Abdelghany, 2002). The previous studies of the planktic faunal content of the study area by Cherif and El Deeb (1984), Cherif et al. (1992), and Anan et al. (1992) are also pertinent to the present study.

#### 2. Stratigraphy

Five marly samples (nos. 17, 19, 20-22, Fig. 2) were collected from about 100 m thick vertical succession of the Late Eocene sediments of the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit anticline (Lat.  $24^{\circ}$  06' -  $24^{\circ}$  09' N, Long. 55 ° 46'-55 ° 49' E) along Al Ain-Mazyad asphalted road, which consists

mainly of marl, as a part of the Middle-Late Eocene Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation, which consists of alternated lithologies of shales and marls. These rocks are intercalated by phosphatic limestone and glauconitic limestone beds (Fig. 3). Thirty two planktic foraminiferal species are identified and recorded from the Late Eocene (Priabonian) succession of the study area, and twenty-five species of them are illustrated in three Plates (1-3). Some differences in the definition of the Late Eocene biozonation from Al Ain area (around the border line between east UAE and west Oman) were made by Cherif and El Deeb (1984) as Globorotalia c. cerroazulensis Zone, followed by Cherif et al. (1992) as Turborotalia c. cerroazulensis Zone, Anan et al. (1992) as Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta and Turborotalia c. cerroazulensis Zones, Anan (1995) as Cribrohantkenina inflata Zone and C. inflata or younger, and Abdelghany (2002) as Turborotalia cunialensis/Cribrohantkenina inflata Concurrent-Range Zone. The disappearance of the spinose genera Morozovella and Truncorotaloides has been taken by many authors (Toumarkine and Luterbacher, 1985; Haggag, 1990; Anan et al., 1992 ) to mark the Middle/Upper Eocene boundary in the tropical and Mediterranean regions.

The Late Eocene planktic foraminiferal content was subdivided early into two zones (Bipartite) by some authors (Stainforth et al., 1975; Toumarkine and Luterbacher, 1985; Keller, 1985): the lower *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta* Zone (P 15) and the upper *Turborotalia cerroazulensis* 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author e-mail: profanan@gmail. Com

Zone (P16/17), while it was subdivided it into three zones (Tripartite) by others (Blow, 1969; Berggren and Miller, 1988; Coccioni et al, 1988; Anan, 1995): the lower Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (P15), the middle Cribrohantkenina inflata Zone (P16), and the upper Turborotalia cerroazulensis Zone (P17), which was based on the stratigraphic range of the C. inflata between G. semiinvoluta and T. cunialensis. The tripartite subdivisions are currently used by different authors (Fig. 4). On the other hand, Haggag (1990) introduced the Globigerina pseudoampliapertura Zone as an interval from the last occurrence of Truncorotaloides rohri to the first appearance of Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta and was assigned to the Late Eocene in the Egyptian stratigraphy. Three planktic foraminiferal conventional biozones are recognized in the studied section, from base to top: Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (E14), Globigerinatheka index Zone (E15) and Hantkenina alabamensis Zone (E16) of the worldwide standard zonation of Berggren and Pearson (2005), Pearson et al. (2006), Wade et al. (2011) and Molina (2015).

#### 3. Systematic Paleontology

The taxonomy followed in this study is that of Pearson et al. (2006). Thirty two Late Eocene planktic foraminiferal species are identified from the upper part of Mazyad Member, Dammam Formation of Jabal Hafit, and twenty five of them are illustrated in Plates 1-3. The stratigraphic ranges of the identified species are presented in Fig. 3.

Order: Foraminifera Eichwald, 1830

Suborder: Globigerinina Delage and Hérouard, 1896 Superfamily: Globigerinacea Carpenter, Parker and Jones,

1862 Family: Globigerinidae Carpenter, Parker and Jones 1862

Genus: *Catapsydrax* Bolli, Loeblich and Tappan, 1957 Type species: *Globigerina dissimilis* Cushman and Bermúdez, 1937

*Catapsydrax dissimilis* (Cushman and Bermúdez, 1937) 1937 *Globigerinita dissimilis* Cushman and Bermúdez, p.

25, pl. 3, figs. 4-6.

1957 Catapsydrax dissimilis; Bolli et al., 36, pl. 7, fig. 6.

1970 Globigerinita dissimilis; Samanta, p. 35, pl. 6, fig. 1.

1992 *Catapsydrax dissimilis*; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 11.13. 1997 *Catapsydrax dissimilis*; Pearson and Chaisson, p. 57,

pl. 2, fig. 12.

2000 Catapsydrax dissimilis; Sztràkos, p. 143, pl. 21, figs. 15,16.

2006 Catapsydrax dissimilis; Olsson et al., p. 71, pl. 5.3, figs. 18-20.

2021 Catapsydrax dissimilis; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. K.

Remarks: This Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its obligate bulla with a uniform continuous lip bordering the infralaminal apertures. Olsson et al. (2006) suggested that this species probably evolved from the Early-Late Eocene *C. unicavus* in the Late Eocene. It is recorded in Al Ain area before (Anan et al., 1992). It was also recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, Pakistan, India, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean (Table 1).

#### Catapsydrax unicavus Bolli, Loeblich and Tappan, 1957 (Pl. 1, fig. 1)

1957 *Catapsydrax unicavus* Bolli et al., p. 37, pl. 7, fig. 9. 1969 *Globigerinita unicava*; Samanta, p. 332, pl. 1, fig. 4. 2006 *Catapsydrax unicavus*; Olsson et al., p. 75, pl. 5.3,

figs. 1-17.

2006 Catapsydrax unicavus; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 30, pl. 1, fig. 10.

2015 Catapsydrax unicavus; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 *Catapsydrax unicavus*; Pearson and Wade, p. 8, fig. 4. 1-5.

2021 Catapsydrax unicavus; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. M.

Remarks: This Eocene species has 4 globular chambers in the final whorl with slightly inflated bulla extending over the umbilicus. It is recorded and illustrated in Al Ain area herein, for the first time. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, India, New Zealand, and in the Pacific Ocean).

Genus Subbotina Brotzen and Pozaryska, 1961

Type species *Globigerina triloculinoides* Plummer, 1926 *Subbotina angiporoides* (Hornibrook, 1965)

1965 *Globigerina angiporoides* Hornibrook, p. 835, figs. 1, 2.

1968 *Globigerina angiporoides*; Srinivasan, p. 147, pl. 15, fig. 9.

1969 *Globigerina angiporoides*; Samanta, pl. 330, pl. 3, fig. 1.

1975 *Globigerina angiporoides*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 182, pl. 5, figs. 5-7.

1971 Globigerina (Subbotina) a. angiporoides; Jenkins, pl. 20, figs. 588-594.

1992 Subbotina angiporoides; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.10. 2006 Subbotina angiporoides; Olsson et al., p. 126, pl. 6.6, figs. 1-13.

2021 Subbotina angiporoides; Salama et al., p. 14, fig. 8. D.

Remarks: This Middle-Late Eocene species have strongly embracing final chamber and a low slit-like aperture with a thick lip. Olsson et al. (2006) suggested that it evolved from the long-range Eocene *S. linaperta*. It is recorded in Al Ain area before (Anan et al., 1992; Anan, 1995). It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy, Caucasica), and the Southern Tethys (Egypt, New Zealand, Indian Ocean, and New Zealand, Fig. 5).

#### Subbotina corpulenta (Subbotina, 1953)

1953 *Globigerina corpulenta* Subbotina, p. 101, pl. 9, figs. 5-7.

1970 *Globigerina corpulenta*; Samanta, p. 32, pl. 7, figs. 9,10.

1992 *Globigerina corpulenta*; Anan et al., p. 239, pl. 10, fig. 15.

1995 Globigerina corpulenta; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig.11.

2006 Subbotina corpulenta; Olsson et al., p. 75, pl. 6.7, figs. 1-14.

2006 Subbotina corpulenta; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 30, pl. 1, fig. 7.

2015 Subbotina corpulenta; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Subbotina corpulenta; Pearson and Wade, p. 13, fig.

10.3,4.

2021 Subbotina corpulenta; Salama et al., p. 14, fig. 8. G.

Remarks: The Subbotina corpulenta Middle-Late Eocene species has a larger-size test with 4 globular chambers in the final whorl. Stainforth et al. (1975) considered that S. corpulenta is a half way between S. eocaena and S. gortanii, while it may probably evolve from S. eocaena by Haggag and Luterbacher (1991), or from S. hagni by Olsson et al. (2006). It is recorded only in E14 in this study. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Egypt, UAE, Oman, India, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean).

#### Subbotina eocaena (Guembel, 1868)

1868 Globigerina eocaena Guembel, p. 662, pl. 2, fig. 109. 1953 Globigerina pseudoeocaena var. pseudoeocaena

#### Subbotina, p. 81, pl.5, figs.1, 2.

1953 Globigerina pseudoeocaena var. compacta Subbotina, p. 81, pl. 5, fig. 3.

1953 Globigerina pseudoeocaena var. trilobata Subbotina, p. 84, pl. 5, fig. 5.

1969 Globigerina eocaena; Samanta, pl. 330, text- fig. 1.

1975 Globigerina eocaena; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 180, pl. 4, figs. 1, 2.

1992 Globigerina eocaena; Anan et al., p. 239, pl. 10, fig.11.

1992 Globigerina eocaena; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, figs. 5,6. 1992 Subbotina eocaena; Cherif et al., p. 46, pl. 1, fig. 36. 1995 Globigerina eocaena; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 10.

2000 Subbotina eocaena; Sztràkos, p. 124, pl. 22, fig. 8.

- 2002 Subbotina eocaena; Abdelghany, p. 214, pl. 1, fig. 7.
- 2006 Subbotina eocaena; Olsson et al., p. 138, pl. 6.9, figs. 1-16.

2015 Subbotina eocaena; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Subbotina eocaena; Pearson and Wade, p. 13, fig. 10. 5-8.

2020 Subbotina eocaena; Anan, p. 497.

2021 Subbotina eocaena; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. T.

Remarks: Subbotina eocaena recorded here from Middle-Late Eocene is characterized by its 31/2-4 globular embracing chambers in the last whorl, with an embracing aperture bordered by a thin irregular lip. It is recorded from many localities in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, Oman, India, Indian Ocean).

#### Subbotina gortanii (Borsetti, 1959)

(Pl. 1, fig. 2)

1959 Catapsydrax gortanii Borsetti, p. 205, pl. 1, fig. 1.

1969 Globigerina g. gortanii; Blow, p. 320, pl. 17, fig. 1. 1970 Globigerina gortanii; Samanta, p. 32, pl. 7, figs.

#### 11.12.

1995 Globigerina gortanii; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 12. 2002 Subbotina gortanii; Abdelghany, p. 214, pl. 1, fig. 8. 2006 Subbotina gortanii; Olsson et al., p. 138, pl. 6.10, figs. 1-17.

2015 Subbotina gortanii; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Subbotina gortanii; Pearson & Wade, p. 8, fig. 11. 1-8. 2020 Subbotina gortanii; Anan, p. 498, pl. 1, fig. 2.

Remarks: The Middle-Late Eocene Subbotina gortanii species is characterized by its trochospiral loosely coiled test, 4 globular loosely embracing chambers in the final whorl with a large umbilicus enclosed by surrounding chambers. It

was also recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, Oman, India, and Indian Ocean).

#### Subbotina jacksonensis (Bandy, 1949)

(Pl. 1, fig. 3)

1949 Globigerina rotundata jacksonensis Bandy, p. 121, pl. 23, fig. 6.

2006 Subbotina jacksonensis; Olsson et al., p. 146, pl. 6.13, figs. 1-20.

2020 Subbotina jacksonensis; Anan, p. 498, pl. 1, fig. 4.

Remarks: The Middle-Late Eocene Subbotina jacksonensis specie is characterized by its much-embracing chambers, with a reduced final chamber projecting and covering the umbilicus. It was originally recorded from the USA, the Northern Tethys (Spain), and the Southern Tethys (Egypt). It is recorded and illustrated here, for the first time, from Al Ain area.g

#### Subbotina linaperta (Finlay, 1939)

(Pl. 1, fig. 4)

- 1939 Globigerina linaperta Finlay, p. 125, pl. 23, figs. 54-57.
- 1968 Subbotina linaperta; Srinivasan, p. 149, pl. 16, figs. 7, 10, 11.
- 1970 Globigerina linaperta; Samanta, p. 33, pl. 6, figs. 19, 2.0.
- 1971 Globigerina (Subbotina) linaperta; Jenkins, p. 162, pl. 18, figs. 551-554.
- 1975 Globigerina linaperta; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 182, pl. 5, figs. 1, 2.

1990 Subbotina linaperta; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 312, pl. 2, fig. 2.

1992 Globigerina linaperta; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, fig. 4. 2000 Subbotina linaperta; Sztràkos, P. 143.

2002 Subbotina linaperta; Abdelghany, p. 214, pl. 1, figs. 10-11.

2006 Subbotina linaperta; Olsson et al., p. 149, pl. 6.14, figs. 1-16.

2015 Subbotina linaperta; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2020 Subbotina linaperta; Anan, p. 498, pl. 1, fig. 5.

2021 Subbotina linaperta; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. W.

Remarks: Subbotina linaperta from the Eocene was considered by several authors (e.g. Stainforth et al., 1975; Bolli and Saunders, 1985; Haggag and Luterbacher, 1991) as the basic stock, from which all Eocene Globigerina groups or lineage have been differentiated. Olsson et al. (2006) considered S. linaperta belongs to a group of tightly coiled subbotinids with a coarse, symmetrical cancellate wall texture which include S. velascoensis, S. patagonica, S. angiporoides, S. utilisindex. It appears that Early-Late Eocene S. linaperta is derived from Early-Middle Eocene S. patagonica by flattening of chambers and rotation of the aperture to a more extraumbilical position. This cosmopolitan species was recorded in Al Ain area, UAE as a part of the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Oman, Pakistan, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand, Pacific Ocean), and recorded also in the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, and the Caucasus).

#### Subbotina utilisindex (Jenkins and Orr, 1973) (Pl. 1, fig. 5)

1973 *Globigerina utilisindex* Jenkins and Orr, p. 1089, pl. 10, figs. 6-8.

2000 Subbotina linaperta utilisindex; Sztràkos, p. 143.

2006 Subbotina utilisindex; Olsson et al., p. 161, pl. 6.6, figs. 14-20.

#### 2021 Subbotina utilisindex; Salama et al., p. 14, fig. 8. P.

Remarks: This Late Eocene species is characterized by its trilobate test, spinose wall, final chamber comprising about half of the test, and umbilical-extraumbilical slit-like aperture. It is recorded and illustrated, for the first time, in the current study. It was recorded in the Northern Tethys (France) and also the Southern Tethys (Egypt, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean).

#### Subbotina yeguaensis (Weinzierl and Applin, 1929)

(Pl. 1, fig. 6)

1929 *Globigerina yeguaensis* Weinzierl and Applin, p. 409, pl. 43, fig. 1.

1968 Subbotina yeguaensis; Srinivasan, p. 149, pl. 16, figs. 1-4.

1969 Globigerina yeguaensis; Samanta, p. 332, pl. 3, fig. 7.

1992 *Globigerina yeguaensis*; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.18. 1992 *Globigerina yeguaensis*; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, figs. 7,

8.

1995 Globigerina yeguaensis; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 13. 2006 Globigerina yeguaensis; Olsson et al., p. 162, pl. 6.18,

figs. 1-16.

2015 Globigerina yeguaensis; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5. 2021 Globigerina yeguaensis; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. Y.

Remarks: The Subbotina yeguaensis Eocene species is characterized by its smaller ultimate chamber size than its penultimate chamber size. Haggag and Luterbacher (1991) considered D. tripartita (Koch) as being developed from S. yeguaensis with many transitional forms, while Olsson et al. (2006) noted that S. yeguaensis arose in the Early Eocene possibly from S. eocaena (Guembel). It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Caucasus), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, Pakistan, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand, and the Pacific Ocean).

Genus Globigerinatheka Brönnimann, 1952

Type species *Globigerinatheka barri* Brönnimann, 1952 *Globigerinatheka index* (Finlay, 1939)

#### (Pl. 1, fig. 7)

1939 *Globigerinoides index* Finlay, p. 125, pl. 14, figs. 85-88.

1968 *Glopigerapsis index*; Srinivasan, p. 149, pl. 16, figs. 8,9,12.

1985 Globigerinatheka index; Keller, p. 886, fig. 4.15.

1988 *Globigerinatheka index*; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, figs. 11, 12.

1990 *Globigerinatheka index*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 311, pl. 1, fig. 8.

1992 *Globigerinatheka i. index*; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.6.

1992 Globigerinatheka i. index; Haggag, p. 108, pl. 3, fig. 12.

1995 Globigerinatheka i. index; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 4.

2000 *Globigerinatheka i. index*; Sztràkos, p. 143, pl. 23, fig. 3.

2006 *Globigerinatheka index*; Premoli Silva et al., p. 183, pl. 7.5, figs. 1-20.

2013 *Globigerinatheka index*; Strougo, et al., p. 128, fig. 12. L.

2015 Globigerinatheka index; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.9.

Remarks: The *Globigerinatheka index* species recorded from Middle-Late Eocene is characterized by its three inflated chambers in the last whorl and the last one making almost one-half of the test size, and secondary aperture at the base of the last chamber above the sutures of the previous chambers usually without bullae. *G. index* is one of the three members of the genus *Globigerinatheka* in the Late Eocene besides *G. luterbacheri* and *G. tropicalis*. It is evolved from the Middle Eocene *G. subconglobata* according to Premoli Silva et al. (2006). It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus), and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand, and the Pacific Ocean).

#### Globigerinatheka luterbacheri Bolli, 1972

(Pl. 1, fig. 8)

1972 Globigerinatheka subconglobata luterbacheri Bolli, p. 132, pl. 7, fig. 13.

1988 *Globigerinatheka luterbacheri*; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, fig. 13.

1990 *Globigerinatheka luterbacheri*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 312, pl. 2, fig. 7.

1992 Globigerinatheka subconglobata luterbacheri; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.1.

2006 *Globigerinatheka luterbacheri*; Premoli Silva et al., p. 191, pl. 7.4, figs. 9,10,13.16.

2015 Globigerinatheka luterbacheri; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

Remarks: The Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its robust wall and nearly globular large test with numerous secondary apertures mostly without bullae. It is recorded only in E14 only. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy), and also the Southern Tethys (Egypt, UAE, Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean).

#### Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta (Keijzer, 1945)

(Pl. 1, fig. 9)

- 1945, Globigerinoides semi-involutus Keijzer, p. 206, pl. 4, fig. 58.
- 1957 *Globigerapsis semiinvoluta*; Bolli et al., p. 34, pl. 6, fig. 7.
- 1975 *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 184, pl. 6, figs. 15-24.
  - 1985 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Keller, p. 886, fig. 4.16.-
- 1988 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, fig. 10.-

1990 *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 312, pl. 2, fig. 4.

1992 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.9.

1996 *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta*; Haggag and Bolli, p. 368, fig. 3.15-22.

2000 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Sztràkos, p. 144.

- 2006 *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta*; Premoli Silva et al., p. 197, pl. 7.9, figs. 1-15.
- 2013 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. M.
- 2015 *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta*; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.10,11.
- 2021 Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta; Salama et al., p. 14, fig. 8. V1-V3.

Remarks: *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta* early Late Eocene [E14] species is characterized by its globular test, inflated enveloping last chamber, and large circular height secondary apertures. It is recorded in the upper part of the Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation. G. semiinvoluta was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and in the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, Indian and Pacific Oceans).

Globigerinatheka tropicalis (Blow and Banner, 1962)

1962 *Globigerinoides tropicalis* Blow and Banner, p. 124, pl. 15, figs. D-F.

- 1970 *Globigerapsis tropicalis*; Samanta, p. 35, pl. 6, figs. 21-23.
- 1972 *Globigerinatheka index tropicalis*; Bolli, p. 127, textfigs. 58-59.

1990 *Globigerinatheka tropicalis*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 311, pl. 1, fig. 9.

1992 Globigerinatheka index tropicalis; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 10.8.

2000 *Globigerinatheka index tropicalis*; Sztràkos, p. 143. 2006 *Globigerinoides tropicalis*; Premoli Silva et al., p. 204, pl. 7.3, figs. 9-16.

- 2015 *Globigerinatheka index tropicalis*; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.
- 2013 *Globigerinatheka tropicalis*; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. I.
- 2020 Globigerinatheka tropicalis; Anan, p. 498, pl. 1, fig. 9.

Remarks: The Middle-Late Eocene *Globigerinatheka* tropicalis species is characterized mainly by its mainly sub-circular secondary apertures with rims. Blow (1969) considered it to be largely restricted to cooler water, but Bolli (1972) extends its distribution to mid-latitudes. Anan (1995) noted the presence of keels (*T. cunialensis*), accessory apertures (*Globigerinatheka* members), and tubular spines (*Hantkenina* members), which predominant in tropical warm-temperature regions. He suggested that in the Late Eocene time, the UAE had been in the tropical belt. This species was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand, and the Pacific Ocean).

Family Hantkeninidae Cushman, 1927

Genus Cribrohantkenina Thalmann, 1942

Type species Hantkenina (Cribrohantkenina) bermudezi Thalmann, 1942

#### Cribrohantkenina inflata (Howe, 1928) (Pl. 2, fig. 10)

1928 Hantkenina inflata Howe, p. 14, pl. 14, fig. 2.

1969 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Samanta, p. 337, pl. 1, fig. 11.

1975 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Martinez-Gallego and Molina, p. 178, pl. 1, fig. 2.

1988 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Coccioni, p. 87, pl. 2, figs. 9-12; pl. 3, figs. 1-8.

1990 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 311, pl. 1, fig. 7.

- 1992 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 8.8.
- 1992 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Cherif et al., p. 46, pl. 1, fig. 32.
  - 1995 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, figs. 14, 15.
  - 1997 *Cribrohantkenina inflata*; Pearson and Chaisson, p. 57, pl. 2, fig. 9.
- 2002 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Abdelghany, p. 215, pl. 2, figs. 3-6.
- 2006 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Coxall and Pearson, p. 226, pl. 8.3, figs. 1-14.
- 2006 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, fig. 7.
- 2015 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Molina, p. 173, fig. 6.2.
- 2015 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Pearson and Wade, p. 20, fig. 22. 1-3; fig. 23. 1-21.
  - 2018 Cribrohantkenina inflata; Anan, p. 124, fig. 5a.

Remarks: The genus *Cribrohantkenina* is regarded as a monotypic genus. Blow (1969), Toumarkine and Luterbacher (1985), Anan (1995, 2018), Coxall and Pearson (2006), and Pearson and Wade (2015) consider this genus to have evolved from the genus *Hantkenina* Cushman (1924). The Late Eocene species *C. inflata* is distinguished from all other hantkeninids by the presence of one or more areal secondary circular apertures with lips in the final adult chamber. It was recorded in the low-mid latitudes: the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy), and also the Southern Tethys (Egypt, UAE, India, Indian Ocean). Anan (1995) presented a map (Fig. 6) showing the distribution of *C. inflata* in six localities in the world (between 40° N-20°S): the Atlantic Ocean, the Southern Tethys (UAE, Oman, and India).

Genus Hantkenina Cushman, 1924

Type species *Hantkenina alabamensis* Cushman, 1924 *Hantkenina alabamensis* Cushman, 1924

### (Pl. 2, fig. 11)

- 1924 Hantkenina alabamensis Cushman, p. 3, pl. 1, figs. 1-6.
- 1968 Hantkenina alabamensis; Srinivasan, p. 145, pl. 13, figs. 5, 6, 9.
- 1970 Hantkenina alabamensis; Samanta, p. 37, pl. 7, fig. 8.
- 1975 *Hantkenina alabamensis*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 174, pl. 1, figs. 10-13.
  - 1975 *Hantkenina alabamensis*; Martinez-Gallego and Molina, p. 180.
- 1985 Hantkenina alabamensis; Keller, p. 886, fig. 3. 9,10.
- 1988 Hantkenina alabamensis; Coccioni, p. 85, pl. 1, figs. 1-9.
  - 1990 Hantkenina alabamensis; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 311, pl. 1, figs. 4-6.
- 1992 Hantkenina alabamensis; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 8.6.
- 1992 Hantkenina alabamensis; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, fig. 3. 1995 Hantkenina alabamensis; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 16.

- 1997 Hantkenina alabamensis; Pearson and Chaisson, p. 61, pl. 1, fig. 8.
- 2000 Hantkenina alabamensis; Sztråkos, p. 143. 2006 Hantkenina alabamensis; Coxall and Pearson, p. 230,

pl. 8.4, figs. 1-14. 2015 *Hantkenina alabamensis*; Pearson and Wade, p. 22,

fig. 24.1-6.

2015 Hantkenina alabamensis; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2018 Hantkenina alabamensis; Anan, p. 125, fig. 5b.

2020 Hantkenina alabamensis; Anan, p. 499, pl. 1, fig. 11.

Remarks: Coxall et al. (2003) revealed that the Middle-Late genus *Hantkenina* was evolved gradually from the clavata species *Clavigerinella eocenica* (Nuttall), and contrary to the long-held view it is related to the genus *Pseudohastigerina* (Banner and Blow). The genus *Hantkenina* Cushman (1924) is characterized by having tubulospine on some or all of the chambers in the adult whorls. The cosmopolitan Middle-Late Eocene species *H. alabamensis* is the most advanced representative of the genus. It was recorded in the low-mid latitudes: the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, and New Zealand).

#### Hantkenina compressa Parr, 1947

(Pl. 2, fig. 12)

1947 Hantkenina compressa Parr, p. 46, text-figs. 1-7. 2006 Hantkenina compressa; Coxall and Pearson, p. 233, pl. 8.6, figs. 1-21.

2015 Hantkenina compressa; Molina, p. 173, fig. 6.
2018 Hantkenina compressa; Anan, p. 127, fig. 5d.
2020 Hantkenina compressa; Anan, p. 499.

Remarks: The *Hantkenina compressa* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its more laterally compressed chambers than *H. alabamensis*. The final 2-3 chambers are in contact with the posterior wall of the adjacent chambers. Coxall and Pearson (2006) noted that this species is intermediate in morphology between Middle Eocene *H. dumblei* and Middle-Late Eocene *H. alabamensis* and overlaps stratigraphically with them both, and the morphospecies of the latter species and *H. compressa* seem to be linked by a continuous gradation of morphology. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Egypt, UAE and Australia).

#### Hantkenina primitiva Cushman and Jarvis, 1929 (Pl. 2, fig. 13)

1929 Hantkenina alabamensis Cushman var. primitiva Cushman and Jarvis, p. 16, pl. 3, figs. 2, 3.

1969 Hantkenina primitiva; Samanta, p. 340, pl. 1, fig. 9.

1992 Hantkenina primitiva; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 8.7.

2006 Hantkenina primitiva; Coxall and Pearson, p. 250, pl. 8.12, figs. 1-20.

2015 Hantkenina primitiva; Molina, p. 173, fig. 6. 2015 Hantkenina primitiva; Pearson and Wade, p. 23, fig. 25. 5-9.

2018 Hantkenina primitiva; Anan, p. 127, fig. 5f.

Remarks: *Hantkenina primitiva* Middle-Late Eocene species has 5-6 compressed polygonal chambers extending into hollow tubulospine and increasing steadily in size as

added. Coxall and Pearson (2006) noted that this species might have evolved from *H. compressa* at the base of Middle Eocene E13 (*Morozovella crassata* Zone). It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy) and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, UAE and India).

Family Globoquadrinidae Blow, 1979

Genus Dentoglobigerina Blow, 1979

Type species Globigerina galavisi Bermúdez, 1961

Dentoglobigerina galavisi (Bermúdez, 1961) (Pl. 2, fig. 14)

1961 Globigerina galavisi Bermúdez, p. 1183, pl. 4, fig. 3.

1975 Globigerina galavisi; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 72.

2006 Dentoglobigerina galavisi; Olsson et al., p. 403, pl.

#### 13.1, figs. 1-16.

2006 Dentoglobigerina galavisi; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 30, pl. 1, fig. 11.

2015 Dentoglobigerina galavisi; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Dentoglobigerina galavisi; Pearson and Wade, p. 17, fig. 15.1-8.

2021 *Dentoglobigerina galavisi*; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. N.

Remarks: *Dentoglobigerina galavisi* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> nearly globular chambers in the final whorl with a small umbilicus enclosed by surrounding chambers. It is recorded and illustrated in the study section, for the first time, in UAE. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, Italy, Caucasus) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean).

## Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana (Blow and Banner, 1962)

#### (Pl. 2, fig. 15)

- 1962 *Globigerina yeguaensis pseudovenezuelana* Blow and Banner, p. 100, pl. 11, figs. J-L.
- 1992 *Globigerina pseudovenezuelana*; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 11.1.

2006 Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana; Olsson et al., p. 404, pl. 13.2, figs. 1-16.

2008 Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana; Wade and Pearson, p. 249, fig. 5.

2015 Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana; Pearson and Wade, p. 18, fig. 17.1-6.

2021 Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. O.

Remarks: *Dentoglobigerina pseudovenezuelana* Late Eocene species is characterized by its embracing 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> chambers in the last whorl, which led to a compact subcircular test. Olsson et al. (2006) regarded that this species evolved from *D. galavisi*. It was recorded in the Northern Tethys (Spain, Caucasus) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Egypt and UAE).

#### Dentoglobigerina tripartita (Koch, 1926)

(Pl. 2, fig. 16)

1926 Globigerina bulloides var. tripartita Koch, p. 742, fig. 21.

1975 *Globigerina tripartita*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 180, pl. 4, figs. 3,4. 1992 Globigerina tripartita; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 11. 5.

- 2006 *Globigerina tripartita*; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 36, pl. 4, fig. 4.
- 2006 Dentoglobigerina tripartita; Olsson et al., p. 408, pl. 13.3, figs. 1-16.
- 2015 Dentoglobigerina tripartita; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.
- 2021 *Dentoglobigerina tripartita*; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. O.

Remarks: *Dentoglobigerina tripartita* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its compact test with 3 chambers increasing rapidly in size in the last whorl, the final chamber hangs over the umbilicus. Olsson et al. (2006) regarded that this species evolved from *D. galavisi*. It was recorded in the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and also Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian and Pacific Oceans).

Family Hedbergellidae Loeblich and Tappan, 1961

Genus *Pseudohastigerina* Banner and Blow, 1959 Type species *Nonion micrus* Cole, 1927

#### Pseudohastigerina micra (Cole, 1927)

1927 Nonion micrus Cole, p. 22, pl. 5, fig. 12.

1957 Hastigerina micra; Bolli, p. 161, pl. 35, fig. 2.

1959 *Pseudohastigerina micrus*; Banner and Blow, p. 19, fig. 4.1-9.

1969 *Pseudohastigerina micrus*; Samanta, p. 342, pl. 1, fig. 6.

1975 *Pseudohastigerina micrus*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 174, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2.

1975 *Pseudohastigerina micrus*; Martinez-Gallego and Molina, p. 181, pl. 2, fig. 1.

1985 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Keller, p. 886, fig. 3.3,4.

1988 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, figs. 14, 15.

1992 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Anan et al., p. 242, fig. 8,9.

- 1992 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, figs. 1,2.
  - 2000 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Sztràkos, p. 143.
- 2002 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Abdelghany, p. 214. pl. 2, figs. 1,2.

2006 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 30, pl. 1, fig. 5.

2008 *Pseudohastigerina micrus*; Olsson and Hemleben, p. 422, pl. 14.3, figs. 11-24.

- 2008 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Wade and Pearson, p. 249, fig. 5.
- 2015 Pseudohastigerina micrus; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5, p. 173, fig. 6.4.
- 2015 *Pseudohastigerina micra*; Pearson and Wade, p. 23, fig. 26. 1-7.

2020 Pseudohastigerina micra; Anan, p. 500.

2021 *Pseudohastigerina micra*; Salama et al., p. 12, fig. 7. Z2.

# Remarks: This Eocene species is characterized by its planispiral compressed test with 6-7 globular chambers, an equatorial symmetrical circular aperture bordered by a narrow lip. Olsson and Hemleben (2006) considered it evolved from Early-Middle Eocene *P. wilcoxensis* (Cushman

and Ponton). This cosmopolitan species was recorded in the many Tethyan localities, i.e.. USA, Mexico, Trinidad, Spain, France, Italy, Egypt, Syria, UAE and India.

Genus *Turborotalia* Cushman and Bermúdez, 1949 Type species *Globorotalia centralis* Cushman and Bermúdez, 1937

### Turbrotalia ampliapertura (Bolli, 1957)

(Pl. 2, fig. 17)

- 1957 *Globigerina ampliapertura* Bolli, p. 108, pl. 22, figs. 4-6.
- 1968 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Srinivasan, p. 147, pl. 16, figs. 5, 6.
- 1970 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Samanta, p. 31, pl. 6, figs. 9,10, pl.7, figs. 1, 2.
- 1975 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 180, pl. 4, figs. 17, 18.
- 1985 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Keller, p. 886, fig. 3. 14,15.
- 1992 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Cherif et al., p. 46, pl. 1, fig. 34.
- 1992 *Globigerina ampliapertura*; Anan et al., p. 239, fig. 11. 9.
- 1990 "*Globigerina*" *ampliapertura*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 312, pl. 2, fig. 1.
- 2006 *Turbrotalia ampliapertura*; Pearson et al., p. 441, pl. 15.2, figs. 1-20.
- 2006 *Turbrotalia ampliapertura*; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, figs. 10.
- 2008 Turbrotalia ampliapertura; Wade and Pearson, p. 249, fig. 5.
- 2015 *Turbrotalia ampliapertura*; Pearson and Wade, p. 23, fig. 27. 1-6.
- 2021 *Turbrotalia ampliapertura*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. M, p. 14, fig. 8. B.

Remarks: This *Turbrotalia ampliapertura* Late Eocene species is characterized by its high trochospiral test with normally four globular chambers in the last whorl, with a high arched wide umbilical-extraumbilical aperture. Pearson et al. (2006) regarded this species evolved from Middle-Late Eocene *T. increbescens* (Bandy). It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Italy, Caucasus) and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand and Pacific Ocean).

*Turbrotalia cerroazulensis* (Cole, 1928)

(Pl. 2, fig. 18)

- 1928 Globigerina cerro-azulensis Cole, p. 217, pl. 32, figs. 11-13.
- 1970 Globigerina cerro-azulensis; Samanta, p. 36, pl. 6, figs. 24,25.
- 1970 *Globorotalia c. cerroazulensis*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 144, pl. 1, figs. 19-24.
- 1979 Globorotalia (Turborotalia) cerroazulensis; Blow, p. 1054, pl. 242, figs. 1-7.
- 1985 *Globorotalia c. cerroazulensis*; Toumarkine and Luterbacher, p. 137, figs. 34.3-4.
- 1985 Globorotalia cerroazulensis; Keller, p. 886, fig. 9-11.
- 1992 Globorotalia c. cerroazulensis; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 9.6.

1992 Turbrotalia c. cerroazulensis; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2,

<sup>1992</sup> Globigerina tripartita; Haggag, p. 104, pl. 1, fig. 16.2000 Subbotina tripartita; Sztràkos, p. 143.

- 1995 Turbrotalia c. cerroazulensis; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 7. 2000 Turbrotalia c. cerroazulensis; Sztràkos, p. 142.
- 2006 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis*; Pearson et al., p. 442, pl. 15.3, figs. 1-20.
- 2006 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis*; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, figs. 4,5.
- 2008 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis; Wade and Pearson, p. 249, fig. 5.

2015 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

- 2013 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis*; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. D.
- 2015 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis*; Pearson and Wade, p. 24, fig. 28. 1-4.
- 2020 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis; Anan, p. 500, pl. 2, fig. 20.
- 2021 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. H1, H2.

Remarks: Toumarkine and Bolli (1970) introduced a Middle-Late Eocene *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis* lineage to include a series of six subspecies, and four of them are recorded in the Late Eocene: *T. pomeroli*, *T. cerroazulensis*, *T. cocoaensis* and *T. cunialensis*, while the other two subspecies *T. frontosa* and *T. possagnoensis* normally exist at a lower stratigraphic level than Late Eocene. The Middle-Late Eocene *T. cerroazulensis* species is characterized by its moderate trochospiral test, conical shape in side view, flat spiral side, and a broad arched aperture. Pearson et al. (2006) considered this species evolved from the Middle-Late Eocene *T. pomeroli*. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand and Pacific Ocean).

#### Turbrotalia cocoaensis (Cushman, 1928)

(Pl. 3, fig. 19)

1928 Globorotalia cocoaensis Cushman, p. 75, pl. 10, fig. 3.

1975 *Globorotalia cerroazulensis cocoaensis*; Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 176, pl. 2, figs. 16-18.

- 1985 Globorotalia cerroazulensis cocoaensis; Keller, p. 886, fig. 4.5-7.
- 1992 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cocoaensis; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 9.7.
- 1995 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cocoaensis; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 2.
- 1997 *Turbrotalia cocoaensis*; Pearson and Chaisson, p. 65. 2000 *Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cocoaensis*; Sztràkos, p. 142.
- 2002 Turbrotalia cocoaensis; Abdelghany, p. 214, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2.
- 2006 *Turbrotalia cocoaensis*; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, figs. 1, 2.
- 2006 Turbrotalia cocoaensis; Pearson et al., p. 446, pl. 15.4, figs. 1-12.
- 2008 Turbrotalia cocoaensis; Wade and Pearson, p. 249, fig. 5.
- 2015 *Turbrotalia cocoaensis*; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5, p. 173, fig. 6.3.
- 2015 *Turbrotalia cocoaensis*; Pearson and Wade, p. 24, fig. 28. 5-13.

## 2021 *Turbrotalia cocoaensis*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. I1, I2.g

Remarks: This *Turbrotalia cocoaensis* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its low to moderate trochospiral biconvex test with 4-5 chambers in the last whorl. It distinguished from *T. cerroazulensis* by having a distinctly acute periphery to the final chamber as seen in the side view and evolved from it. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy, Caucasus) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian and Pacific Oceans).

#### Turbrotalia sp.

#### (Pl. 3, fig. 20)

Transition specimen between *Turbrotalia cocoaensis* (Cushman) and *Turbrotalia cunialensis* (Toumarkine and Bolli), *Globigerinatheka index* Zone (E15), Late Eocene, J.

#### Hafit, UAE.

Remarks: The Late Eocene *Turbrotalia* sp. resembles *T. cocoaensis* with acute periphery in the last final chamber but without a keel, on one hand, and resemble *T. cunialensis* in having weak raised keel in the first two chambers, on the other hand. It is recorded and illustrated, for the first time, in the current study.

#### *Turbrotalia cunialensis* (Toumarkine and Bolli, 1970) (Pl. 3, fig. 21)

1970 *Globorotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis* Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 144, pl. 1, figs. 37-39.

- 1985 Globorotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis; Keller, p. 886, fig. 4.1-4.
- 1988 *Turbrotalia cunialensis*; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, figs. 7-9.
- 1992 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 9. 8.
- 1995 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 1.
- 2000 Turbrotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis; Sztràkos, p. 142.
- 2002 Turbrotalia cunialensis; Abdelghany, p. 214, pl. 1, figs. 3, 4.
- 2006 Turbrotalia cunialensis; Pearson et al., p. 450, pl. 15.4, figs. 13-17.
  - 2015 Turbrotalia cunialensis; Molina, p. 173, fig. 6.
- 2015 *Turbrotalia cunialensis*; Pearson and Wade, p. 25, fig. 29. 1-11.

2021 Turbrotalia cunialensis; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. J.

Remarks: *Turbrotalia cunialensis* Late Eocene species is characterized by its strongly compressed biconvex test with keel around periphery. It distinguished from *T. cocoaensis* by having a distinctly keel around periphery, and evolved from it. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (France, Italy) and also the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Egypt, UAE, Oman, India and Indian Ocean).

#### Turbrotalia increbescens (Bandy, 1949) (Pl. 3, fig. 22)

1949 Globigerina increbescens Bandy, p. 120, pl. 23, fig. 3.
1962 Globorotalia (Turborotalia) increbescens; Blow and Banner, p. 118, pl. 13, figs. T-V. 1968 Turbrotalia increbescens; Srinivasan, p. 146, pl. 14, figs. 5-7. 1970 Globorotalia (Turborotalia) increbescens; Samanta, p. 36, pl. 6, fig. 26, 27.

1985 Globorotalia increbescens; Keller, p. 886, fig. 3. 16.
1992 Globorotalia (Turborotalia) increbescens; Cherif et al., p. 50, pl. 3, fig. 2.

2000 Turbrotalia increbescens; Sztràkos, p. 142.

2006 *Turbrotalia increbescens*; Pearson et al., p. 453, pl. 15.6, figs. 1-15.

2006 *Turbrotalia increbescens*; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, figs. 11.

2015 *Turbrotalia increbescens*; Pearson and Wade, p. 25, fig. 27. 7-8.

2021 *Turbrotalia increbescens*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. L.

Remarks: *Turbrotalia increbescens* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its highly trochospiral test including 4 globular chambers in the last whorl with a rounded periphery, a broad arched aperture in an intra-extraumbilical position. It differs from *T. cerroazulensis* by having a round periphery, fewer and fewer chambers, and more globular test shape. Pearson et al. (2006) regarded that this species is intermediate in morphology between *T. pomeroli* and *T. ampliapertura* and evolved from *T. pomeroli* in the Middle Eocene. It was recorded in the Atlantic Ocean, the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Caucasus), and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand and Pacific Ocean).

#### *Turborotalia pomeroli* (Toumarkine and Bolli, 1970) (Plate 3, figure 23)

1970 *Globorotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli* Toumarkine and Bolli, p. 140, pl. 1, figs. 10-18.

1988 *Turborotalia pomeroli*; Coccioni et al., p. 75, pl. 1, figs. 1-3.

1992 Globorotalia (Turborotalia) cerroazulensis pomeroli; Cherif et al., p. 50, pl. 3, fig. 1.

- 1992 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Haggag, p. 106, pl. 2, fig. 12.
- 1995 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 8.

2000 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Sztràkos, p. 142, pl. 23, fig. 14.

2006 *Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli*; Pearson et al., p. 454, pl. 15.7, figs. 10-20.

2006 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 32, pl. 2, fig. 8.

2013 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. C.

2015 Turborotalia cerroazulensis pomeroli; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2020 *Turborotalia pomeroli*; Anan, p. 500, pl. 2, fig. 21. 2021 *Turbrotalia pomeroli*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. K.

Remarks: The *Turbrotalia pomeroli* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its moderate trochospiral test with 4 globular chambers increasing moderately in size, a broad arched aperture in umbilical-extraumbilical position. Pearson et al. (2006) regarded that this species evolved from *T. frontosa* in the Middle Eocene and was ancestral to *T. cerroazulensis* and *T. increbescens*. It was recorded in the Northern Tethys (Spain, France, Italy) and the Southern

Tethys (Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India and Pacific Ocean).

## *Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura* (Blow and Banner, 1962)

#### (Pl. 3, fig. 24)

- 1962 *Globigerina pseudoampliapertura* Blow and Banner, p. 95, pl. 12, figs. A-C.
- 1968 Globigerina ampliapertura pseudoampliapertura; Srinivasan, p. 147, pl. 17, figs. 4-6.
- 1969 Globigerina pseudoampliapertura; Samanta, p. 331, pl. 1, fig. 13.
- 1990 *Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura*; Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, p. 312, pl. 2, figs. 3,5.

1992 Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 9.10.

- 1995 *Turborotalia p. pseudoampliapertura*; Haggag and Luterbacher, p. 41, pl. 3, figs. 5-8.
- 1995 Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura; Anan, p. 8, pl. 1, fig. 6.

## 2013 *Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura*; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. F-G.

Remarks: Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its moderate trochospiral test with 4 globular chambers increasing moderately in size, a broader arched aperture in umbilicalextraumbilical position than T. pomeroli. Haggag and Luterbacher (1991) introduced in a younger part of the Middle -Late Eocene a Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura lineage, which includes T. pomeroli, T. nukhulensis, T. pseudoampliapertura, T. sinaiensis. The members of T. pseudoampliapertura lineage flourish those of T. cerroazulensis lineage become rare or disappear. Haggag and Luterbacher (1995) regarded that this species evolved from their T. nukhulensis in the late Middle Eocene and was ancestral to their T. sinaiensis. Two only members of T. pseudoampliapertura lineage are recorded in the study section: T. pseudoampliapertura T. sinaiensis, while T. nukhulensis was recorded in Jabal Malaget section, Al Ain area, UAE (Anan, 1995). T. pseudoampliapertura was used by Haggag (1992) as a zonal marker for her 'T. pseudoampliapertura Zone', which she considered as to be located between the Truncorotaloides rohri Zone (P14=E13) and Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (P15=E14). T. pseudoampliapertura was recorded, so far, in the Southern Tethys (Libya, Egypt, UAE, India, Indian Ocean and New Zealand).

*Turborotalia sinaiensis* Haggag and Luterbacher, 1995 (Pl. 3, fig. 25)

1995 Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura sinaiensis Haggag and Luterbacher, p. 41, pl. 3, figs. 1-4.

#### 2013 *Turborotalia sinaiensis*; Strougo et al., p. 128, fig. 12. H.

Remarks: *Turborotalia sinaiensis* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its moderate trochospiral test with 4 globular chambers increasing moderately in size, a more broad arched aperture in umbilical-extraumbilical position than *T. pseudoampliapertura*. Haggag and Luterbacher (1995) regarded that this species evolved from *T. pseudoampliapertura* in the late Middle Eocene. *T.*  *sinaiensis* is recorded and illustrated, for the first time, in the study section, after its occurrence in Sinai of Egypt.

Family Chiloguembelinidae Reiss, 1963

Genus *Chiloguembelina* Loeblich and Tappan, 1956 Type species *Guembelina midwayensis* Cushman, 1940

Chiloguembelina cubensis (Palmer, 1934)

1934 Guembelina cubensis Palmer, p. 74, figs. 1-6.

1957 *Chiloguembelina cubensis*; Beckmann, p. 89, pl. 21, fig. 21.

1968 Chiloguembelina cubensis; Srinivasan, p. 142, pl. 13, fig. 1.

1985 Chiloguembelina cubensis; Keller, p. 886, fig. 3.8.

1992 *Chiloguembelina cubensis*; Anan et al., p. 236, fig. 8.1. 1992 *Chiloguembelina cubensis*; Cherif et al., p. 46, pl. 1,

fig. 32.

2006 Chiloguembelina cubensis; Hernitz Kučenjak et al., p. 36, pl. 4, fig. 11.

2015 Chiloguembelina cubensis; Molina, p. 172, fig. 5.

2015 Chiloguembelina cubensis; Pearson and Wade, p. 25, fig. 30. 3.

2021 *Chiloguembelina cubensis*; Salama et al., p. 10, fig. 6. B.

Remarks: The *Chiloguembelina cubensis* Middle-Late Eocene species is characterized by its biserial elongate test, surface texture distinctly costate in rows or striae aligned with the long axis of the test. It was recorded in the Northern Tethys (Spain) and the Southern Tethys (Tanzania, Egypt, Syria, UAE, India, New Zealand and Pacific Ocean).

The biozone Gt. semiinvoluta (E14) has yielded: Catapsydrax dissimilis, C. unicavus, Subbotina angiporoides, S. corpulenta, S. eocaena, S. gortanii, S. jacksonensis, S. linaperta, S. utilisindex, S. yeguaensis, Globigerinatheka index, Gt. luterbacheri, Gt. semiinvoluta, Gt. tropicalis, Hantkenina alabamensis, H. compressa, Dentoglobigerina galavisi, D. pseudovenezuelana, D. tripartita, Turborotalia ampliapertura, T. cerroazulensis, T. cocoaensis, T. pomeroli, T. increbescens, T. pseudoampliapertura, T. sinaiensis, Pseudohastigerina micra. The biozone G. index Zone (E15) has yielded all the previous species, except Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta, G. luterbacheri, G. tropicalis and Subbotina corpulenta, but added Hantkenina primitiva, Cribrohantkenina inflata and Chiloguembelina cubensis. The biozone H. alabamensis Zone (E16) has yielded: Catapsydrax dissimilis, C. unicavus, Pseudohastigerina micra, T. pseudoampliapertura, T. cunialensis and Hantkenina alabamensis.

#### 4. Paleogeography

Based on the paleogeographic distribution of the Late Eocene faunal content of the study section, a brief account is given: Twenty-five of thirty two identified planktic foraminiferal species have wide geographic distribution around the world (Fig. 5). Some of them have been recorded in five or more localities, i.e. *Catapsydrax dissimilis, C. unicavus, Subbotina angiporoides, S. corpulenta, S. eocaena, S. gortanii, S. linaperta, S. yeguaensis, Globigerinatheka index, G. semiinvoluta, G. tropicalis, Cribrohantkenina inflata, Hantkenina alabamensis, H. primitiva, Dentoglobigerina galavisi, D.* 

tripartita, Turborotalia ampliapertura, T. cerroazulensis, T. cocoaensis, T. cunialensis, T. increbescens, T. pomeroli, T. pseudoampliapertura, Pseudohastigerina micra, and Chiloguembelina cubensis. Haq and Aubry (1980) noted that North Africa and the Middle East formed important parts of the Tethyan link between the Atlantic and the Pacific Ocean during the Early Cenozoic. The paleogeographic map of Mintz (1981) shows that the ancestral Tethyan Ocean in the Paleogene time is connected with the ancestral Indian, Atlantic, and Pacific Oceans (Fig. 5). Adams et al. (1983) noted that the continuous marine Paleogene connection between the area occupied by the present-day Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean had been lost by mid-Burdigalian (early Oligocene) times when a land bridge connected S.W. Asia to Arabia. Anan (1994) presented a map showing the paleogeographic distribution of some diagnostic Late Eocene planktic foraminiferal species from their original description, i.e.. Cribrohantkenina inflata and Turborotalia cunialensis (Fig. 6), which are restricted in the tropicalsubtropical provinces (Lat. 45° N- 30° S). Anan (1995) noted that the almost identical planktic foraminifera are barren in the cross-bedded nummulitic limestones succession, which represents the topmost Eocene rocks of both J. Hafit and J, Malaqet in Al Ain area, UAE. These rocks overlie a succession of gypsiferous calcareous marls (with limestone intercalations) with abundant planktic foraminifera, among them Globigerinatheka index, Cribrohantkenina inflata, Turborotalia cunialensis, and Hantkenina alabamensis. Rögl (1999) noted that by the end of the Eocene the Tethyan Ocean had already vanished (the new Indian Ocean was born), and the western end of the Tethys was reduced to the Mediterranean Sea. The existence of marked differences between the number of recorded planktic foraminiferal species in the closest or farest localities concerning Jabal Hafit may be due to one or more of these parameters: the differences in the paleoenvironment conditions (depth, temperature, salinity, nutrients, dissolved oxygen), scarce of detailed or documented studies, the deficiency of available works of literature, and/or due to less homogeneity in the species concept between different authors.

#### 5. Paleoenvironment

The planktic foraminiferal Late Eocene species in the current study are rich and diversified in the marly samples of the study section of Jabal Hafit, and represent a middle-upper neritic environment. The following is a brief account of the relevant paleoenvironment and interpretation of the study area: Anan (1995) noted that in the Late Eocene in the UAE and surrounding areas had been located in the tropical and warm temperature region based on many faunal environmental elements, i.e. tubular spines in the hantkeninids and accessory apertures in the Globigerinatheka spp. The current study yields many Hantkeninids (H. alabamensis, H. compressa, H. primitiva) and Globigerinatheka species (G. index, G. luterbacheri, G. semiinvoluta), and also Cribrohantkenina inflata with its accessory apertures and tubular spines (Plates 1, 2). These elements proved that J. Hafit had been located in the tropical and warm temperature region in the Late Eocene time. Bodiselitsch et al. (2004) noted that the Late Eocene is a period of major changes, with a sharp temperature drop of about 2 °C near the Eocene/Oligocene boundary. Anan (2009) noted that an intraformational conglomeratic bed separates P15 and P16 (= E14 and E15) at Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation within the Late Eocene (Fig. 3), which represents sea level lowering (about 39 Ma), which had not been presented in the Vail et al (1977), but in Keller et al. (1987) (Fig. 7). Anan (2018) noted that the presence of accessory apertures and tubulospine in the Hantkeninids planktic foraminiferal species are suggested by many authors (i.e. Coccioni 1988; Anan, 1994; Coxall and Pearson, 2006) to be restricted in the mid to low latitude, in the open ocean and shelf paleoenvironments and tropical-subtropical warm-temperate regions. Anan (2020) noted that the two intraformational conglomeratic beds in the Middle and Late Eocene of Jabal Hafit, UAE (Fig. 8), were documented by Keller et al. (1987) as (PHe and PHd, respectively), and represented a minimal reworking and accumulating in the low-energy environment in a short distance of transportation on a slight steepening paleoslope from a positive localized source area during the time of a marked fall in the eustatic sea level lowering with active tectonics.

#### 6. Discussion and Conclusions

More than two decades have been dedicated to the Late Eocene planktic foraminiferal species content in various parts of Al Ain outcrops: Jabal Hafit, J. Malaqet (UAE), and J. Qatar (Oman). Several facts regarding the temporal and spatial distribution of the species have emerged.

- Many attempts were done on the biozonation of the Late Eocene: two zones (Bipartite) by some authors (i. e. Stainforth et al, 1975): the lower Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (P15) and the upper Turborotalia cerroazulensis Zone (P16), while it is subdivided to three zones (Tripartite) by others (i. e. Berggren and Miller, 1988): the lower Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (P15), the middle Cribrohantkenina inflata Zone (P16), and the upper Turborotalia cerroazulensis Zone (P17). Three planktic foraminiferal biozones (Tripartite), but with another planktic foraminiferal species, are recognized in the current study, according to the modern biozonation (after Berggren and Pearson, 2005; Pearson et al., 2006 and Wade et al., 2011), from base to top: Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta Zone (E14), G. index Zone (E15), and Hantkenina alabamensis Zone (E16).
- The recognized taxa have been correlated with those in Al Ain area: J. Malaqet, UAE, and J. Qatar, Oman (24 km northeast of Al Ain city) (Fig. 1), as well as other Late Eocene succession in some of the Northern and the Southern Tethys localities (i.e. the Atlantic Ocean, Spain, France, Italy, Carpathica, Caucasus, Tanzania, Libya, Egypt, Syria, India, Indian Ocean, New Zealand, Pacific Ocean, Fig. 5).
- The number of the Late Eocene planktic foraminifera species in the current study yields a higher number (32 species) than others in or outside Al Ain area, UAE: 31 species in Egypt, 26 species in

each of the Atlantic Ocean and Spain, 23 species in the Indian Ocean, 21 species in India, 20 species in Italy (Table 1). The existence of marked differences between the numbers of recorded species in different localities is most probably indicated by the differences in the paleoenvironmental conditions, land barriers, deficiency of the available literature, or misidentifying the species.

- The uncloses number of the Late Eocene faunal assemblages between J. Malaqet (18 species) and J. Qatar (8 species) and J. Hafit (32 species), in spit that all sections are located in the same basin at Al Jaw Plain (Fig. 1) may due to not detailed study for those sections by different authors.
- Some species are recorded in more than 15 localities in the world: Subbotina linaperta, S. eocaena, Pseudohastigerina micra, Hantkenina alabamensis, Dentoglobigerina tripartita, Turborotalia cerroazulensis, T. cocoaensis, while the lowest record is T. sinaensis and T. sp. in J. Hafit. The record of some species in a wide localities emphasizes the interpretations that were presented by different authors (e.g. Mintz, 1981; Adams et al., 1983) about the extended realms of Tethys Indo-Pacific with the Atlantic during the Late Eocene time.
- The presence of keels, accessory apertures, and tubular spines in some identified species reflect some aspect of the paleoenvironment, which suggests that the Late Eocene time in the Al Ain area, UAE had been in the subtropical-tropical belt during that period, in the open ocean and shelf paleoenvironments.
- The intraformational conglomeratic bed within the Late Eocene of Jabal Hafit, looks-like a "headlike " rock (Fig. 9) caused by minimal reworking and accumulation in a low-energy environment associated with a short distance of transportation on a slight steepening paleoslope from a positive localized source area during that time accompanied with a marked fall in the eustatic sea level and active tectonics (Fig. 7, PHd).

#### Acknowledgement

The author is greatly indebted to Prof. Hanspeter Luterbacher (Tübingen University, Germany) for permission to photograph some of the fauna using SEM; to colleague Prof. Mona A. Haggag (Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt) for her valuable comments; for colleague Prof. Abdul Rahim Hamdan (former Prof. of the United Arab Emirates University, and former Vice President of Hashemite University, Jordan) for improving the English language of the manuscript and fruitful comments. The author would like to thank the editor of JJEES and the reviewers for critical reviewing the manuscript and making useful comments. I am also indebted to my daughter Dr. Huda Anan for her assistance in the development of the figures and plates.

#### References

Abdelghany, O. (2002). Biostratigraphy (Turborotalia cunialensis / Cribrohantkenina inflata Concurrent-Range Zone, P16) of the Late Eocene Dammam Formation, west of the Northern Oman Mountains. Micropaleontology 48 (3): 209-221.

Abdel-Kireem, M.R. (1983). Planktonic foraminifera of Mokattam Formation (Eocene) of Gebel Mokattam, Cairo, Egypt. Revue de Micropaléontologie 28 (2): 77-96.

Adams, C.G., Gentry, A.W. and Whybrow, P.J. (1983). Dating the terminal Tethys event. Utrecht Micropaleontological Bulletin 30: 273-298.

Anan, H.S. (1994). Contribution to the stratigraphy and paleobiogeography of some diagnostic Upper Cretaceous and Paleogene Foraminifera. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, H.5: 257-266.

Anan, H.S. (1995). Late Eocene biostratigraphy of Jabals Malaqet and Mundassa of Al Ain region, United Arab Emirates. Revue de Micropaléontologie 38 (1): 3-14.

Anan, H.S. (2005). Agglutinated Middle-Upper Eocene foraminifera in Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates. Revue de Paléobiologie 24 (1): 17-27.

Anan, H.S. (2009). paleontology and stratigraphical distribution of suborder Lagenina (benthic foraminifera) from the Middle-Late Eocene Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation in Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates, Northern Oman Mountains. Revue de Paléobiologie 28 (1): 1-18.

Anan, H.S. (2018). Hantkeninidae (planktonic foraminifera) from the Middle-Upper Eocene of Jabal Hafit, United Arab Emirates. Earth Sciences India 11 (2): 122-132.

Anan, H.S. (2020). Taxonomical considerations, phylogeny, paleogeography and paleoclimatology of the Middle Eocene (Bartonian) planktic foraminifera from Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates. Earth Sciences Pakistan (ESP) 4 (1): 10-20.

Anan, H.S., Bahr, S.A., Bassiouni, M.A., Boukhary, M.A. and Hamdan, A.R. (1992). Contribution to Early Eocene-Oligocene biostratigraphy of Jabal Hafit succession, United Arab Emirates. Middle East Research Center, Ain Shams University, Earth Science Series, Cairo 6: 225-247.

Bandy O.L. (1949). Eocene and Oligocene foraminifera from Little Stave Creek, Clarke County, Alabama. Bulletin of American Paleontology 42: 210 p.

Banner, F.T. and Blow, W.H. (1959). The classification and stratigraphical distribution of the Globigerinacea. Paleontology 2: 1-27.

Beckmann, J.P. (1957). Chiloguembelina Loeblich and Tappan and related foraminifera from the Lower Tertiary of Trinidad, B.W.I. United States Natural Museum Bulletin 215: 83-96.

Berggren, W.A. and Miller, K.G. (1988). Paleogene tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and magnetobiochronology. Micropaleontology 34 (4): 362-380.

Berggren, W.A. and Pearson, P.N. (2005). A revised tropical to subtropical Paleogene planktonic foraminiferal zonation. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 35 (4): 279-298.

Bermúdez, P.J. (1961). Contribucion al studio de las Globigerindea de la region Caribe-Antillana (Paleoceno-Reciente). Boletino Geologia (Venezuela), Special Publicacion 3 (Congres Geologia Venezolano, 3<sup>ed</sup> Caracas, Memoria 3: 1119-1393.

Blow, W.H. (1969). Late Middle Eocene to Recent planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy. 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference of Planktonic Microfossils (Geneva 1967) 1: 199-422.

Blow, W.H. (1979). The Cainozoic Globigerinida. E.J. Brill, Leiden: 753-1413.

Blow, W.H. and Banner, F.T. (1962). The Mid-Tertiary (Upper Eocene to Aquitanian) Globigerinacea, in Eames, F.T. and others (eds.), Fundamentals of Mid-Tertiary Stratigraphical Correlation: Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 61-151.

Bodiselitsch, B., Montanari, A., Koeberl, C., and Coccioni, R. (2004). Benthic foraminifers from DSDP site 219 (Eocene-Pleistocene, Arabian Sea). Revista Espaňola de Micropaleontologia 25 (1): 127-156.

Bolli, H.M. (1957). Planktonic foraminifera from the Oligocene-Miocene Cipero and Lengua formations of Trinidad, B.W.I. United States National Museum Bulletin 215: 97-121.

Bolli, H.M. (1957). Planktonic foraminifera from the Eocene Navet and San Fernando formations of Trinidad, B.W.I. United States National Museum Bulletin 215: 155-172.

Bolli, H.M. (1972). The genus *Globigerinatheka* Brönnimann. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 2: 109-136.

Bolli, H.M., Loeblich, A.R. and Tappan, H. (1957). Planktonic foraminiferal families Hantkeninidae, Orbulinidae, Globorotaliidae and Globotruncanidae. United States Natural Museum Bulletin, Studies in foraminifera 215: 3-50.

Bolli, H.M. and Saunders, J.B. (1985). Oligocene to Holocene low latitude planktonic foraminifera. In Bolli et al. (Eds.): Plankton stratigraphy. Cambridge Earth Science Series: 155-262.

Borsetti, A.M. (1959). Tre nuovi foraminiferi planctonici dell' Oligocene piacentino. Giornale di Geologia 27: 205-212.

Brönnimann, P. (1952). Trinidad Paleocene and Lower Eocene Globigerinidae. Bulletin of American Paleontology 34: 1-34.

Brotzen, F. and Pozaryska, K. (1961). Foraminifères du Paléocène et de l'Eocène inférieur en Pologne septentrionale; remarques paléogéographiques. Revue de Micropaléontologie 4: 155-166.

Cherif, O.H., Al-Rifaiy, I.A. and El Deeb, W.Z. (1992). "Post-Nappes" early Tertiary foraminiferal paleoecology of the northern Hafit area, south of Al Ain City (United Arab Emirates). Micropaleontology 38 (1): 37-56.

Cherif, O.H. and El Deeb, W.Z. (1984). The Middle Eocene– Oligocene of the Northern Hafit Area, south of Al Ain City (United Arab Emirates). Geologie Méditerranéenne 11 (2): 207-217.

Coccioni, R. (1988). The genera *Hantkenina* and *Cribrohantkenina* (Foraminifera) in Massignano section (Ancona, Italy). International Subcommision of the Paleogene Stratigraphy, E/O Meeting, Ancona, Special Publication 2 (2): 81-96.

Coccioni, R., Monaco, P., Monechi, S. and Parisi, G. (1988). Biostratigraphy of the Eocene-Oligocene boundary at Massignano (Ancona, Italy). International Subcommision of the Paleogene Stratigraphy, E/O Meeting, Ancona, Special Publication 2 (1): 59-80.

Cole, W.S. (1927). A foraminiferal fauna from the Guayabal Formation in Mexico. Bulletins of American Paleontology 14: 1-46.

Cole, W.S. (1928). A foraminiferal fauna from the Chapapote Formation in Mexico. Bulletins of American Paleontology 14: 1-32.

Coxall, H.K. and Pearson, P.N. (2006). Taxonomy, biostratigraphy of the Hantkeninidae (Clavigerinella, Hantkenina and Cribrohantkenina). Atlas of Eocene Planktonic Foraminifera. Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 213-256. Cushman, J.A. (1924). A new genus of Eocene foraminifera. Proceeding of the U.S. National Museum 66: 1-4.

Cushman, J.A. (1925). New foraminifera from the Upper Eocene of Mexico. Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for foraminiferal Research 1: 4-8.

Cushman, J.A. (1928). Foraminifera their classification and economic use. Special Publication Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research 1: 1-401.

Cushman, J.A. and Bermudez, P.J. (1937). Further new species of foraminifera from the Eocene of Cuba. Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research 13: 1-29.

Cushman, J.A. and Jarvis, P.W. (1929). New foraminifera from Trinidad. Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for foraminiferal Research 5: 6-17.

Coxall, H.K., Huber, B.T. and Pearson, P.N. (2003). Origin and morphology of the Eocene planktonic foraminifer Hantkenina. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 33 (3): 237-261.

Coxall, H.K. and Pearson, P.N. (2006). Taxonomy, biostratigraphy of the Hantkeninidae (Clavigerinella, Hantkenina and Cribrohantkenina). Atlas of Eocene Planktonic Foraminifera. Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 213-256.

El Khoudary, R.H. and Helmdach, F.F. (1981). Biostratigraphic studies on the Upper Eocene Apolonia Formation of N.W. Jabal Al Akhdar, N.E. Libya. Revista Española de Micropaleontología 15 (1): 5-23.

Finlay, H.J. (1939). New Zealand foraminifera: Key species in stratigraphy, 3. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 69: 309-329.

Gümbel, C.W. (1868). Beiträge zur Foraminiferenfauna der nordalpinen Eocängebilde. Abhandlungen der K. Bayerische Akademie der Wissenschaften Cl. II, 10 (2): 579-730.

Haggag, M.A. (1990) *Globigerina pseudoampliapertura* Zone, a new Late Eocene planktonic foraminiferal zone (Fayoum area, Egypt). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Mh., H. 5: 295-307.

Haggag, M.A. (1992). Planktonic foraminiferal groups and zonation of the Paleocene/Eocene of the South Galala and environs. Egyptian Journal of Geology 35, 1-2: 37-50.

Haggag, M.A. and Bolli, H.M. (1996). The origin of *Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta* (Keijzer), Upper Eocene, Fayoum area, Egypt. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Mh., H. 6: 365-374.

Haggag, M.A. and Luterbacher, H. (1991). Middle Eocene planktonic foraminiferal groups and biostratigraphy of the Wadi Nukhul section, Sinai, Egypt. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Mh. 6: 319-334.

Haggag, M.A., Luterbacher, H. (1995). The *Turborotalia pseudoampliapertura* lineage in the Eocene of the Wadi Nukhul section, Sinai, Egypt. Revue de Micropaléontologie 38 (1): 37-47.

Hamdan, A.R. and Bahr, S.A. (1992). Lithostratigraphy of Paleogene succession of northern Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, United Arab Emirates. Middle East Research Center, Ain Shams University, Earth Science Series, Cairo 6: 201-224.

.Haq, B.U. and Aubry, M.-P. (1980). Early Cenozoic calcareous nannoplankton biostratigraphy and palaeobiogeography of North Africa and the Middle East and Trans-Tethyan correlations. The Geology of Libya (1). 2<sup>nd</sup> Symposium on the Geology of Libya, Tripoli: 271-304.

Haque, A.F.M.M. (1956). The foraminifera of the Ranikot and the Laki of the Nammal Gorge, Salt Range, Pakistan. Pakistan Geological Survey Memoir, Palaeontologica Pakistanica 1: 1-229. Hernitz Kučenjak, M., Premec Fućek, V., Slavković, R. and Mesić, I.A. (2006). Planktonic Foraminiferal Biostratigraphy of the Late Eocene and Oligocene in the Palmyride Area, Syria. Geologic Croatica 59 (1): 19-39.

Hornibrook, N. De. B. (1965). New Zealand foraminifera: key species in stratigraphy. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics 1: 635-676.

Howe, H. (1928). An observation on the range of the genus *Hantkenina*. Journal of Paleontology 2: 13, 14.

Hunting Geology and Geophysics Ltd. (1979). Report on a Mineral Survey of the United Arab Emirates, Al Ain area. Ministry of Petroleum and Mineral Resources, Abu Dhabi 9: 1-29 (unpublished).

Imam, M.M. (1999). Lithostratigraphy and planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Late Eocene-Middle Miocene sequence in the area between Wadi Al Zeitun and Wadi Rahib, Al Bardia area, Northeast Libya. Journal of African Earth Science 28 (3): 619-639.

Jenkins, D.G. (1971). New Zealand Cenozoic Planktonic Foraminifera. New Zealand Geological Survey Paleontological Bulletin 42: 278p.

Jenkins, D.G. and Orr, W.N. (1973). *Globigerina utilisindex* n. sp. from the upper Eocene-Oligocene of the eastern equatorial Pacific. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 3: 133-135.

Keijzer, F.G. (1945). Outline of the Eastern part of the province of Oriente, Cuba. Publicaties uit het Geographisch en uit het Mineralogisch-Geologisch Instituut der Rijksuniversiteit te Utrecht, Physiographisch-Geologische Reeks 2 (6): 1-216.

Keller, G. (1983). Paleoclimatic analysis of Middle Eocene through Oligocene planktic foraminiferal faunas. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 43: 73-94.

Keller, G. (1985). Eocene and Oligocene stratigraphy and Erosional unconformities in the Gulf of Mexico and Gulf Coast. Journal of Paleontology 59 (4): 882-903.

Keller, G., Herbert, T., Dorsey, R., d'Hondt, S., Johnsson, M. and Chi, W.R. (1987). Global distribution of late Paleogene hiatus. Geology 15: 199-203.

Koch, R. (1926). Mitteltertiäre Foraminiferen aus Bulongan, Ost-Borneo. Ecologae Geologicae Helvetiae 19: 722-751.

Loeblich, A. R. and Tappan, H. (1988). Foraminiferal genera and their classification. Van Nostrand Reinhold (VNR), New York, Part 1: 970 p., part 2: 847 p.

Martinez-Gallego, J. and Molina, E. (1975). Estudio del transito Eoceno-Oligoceno con foraminiferos planctonicos al sur de Torre Cardela (Provincia de Granada, Zona Subbetica). Cuad. Geol. Universidad de Granada 6: 177-195

Mintz, L.W. (1981). Historical Geology, the Science of a Dynamic Earth, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Merrill Publication Company, USA, 611p.

Molina, E. (2015). Evidence and causes of the main extinction events in the Paleogene based on extinction and survival patterns of foraminifera. Earth-Science Reviews 140: 166-181.

Molina, E., Gonzalvo, C., Ortiz, S. and Cruz, L.E. (2006). Foraminiferal turnover across the Eocene-Oligocene transition at Fuente caldera, southern Spain: no cause-effect relationship between meteoric impacts and extinctions. Marine Micropaleontology 58: 270-286.

Mukhopadhyay, S.K. (2005). *Turborotalia cerroazulensis* group in the Paleogene sequence of Cambay Basin, India with a note on the evolution of *Turborotalia cunialensis* (Toumarkine and Bolli). Revue de Paléobiologie 24 (1): 29-50.

Olsson, R.K., Hemleben, C., Huber, B.H. and Berggren, W.A. (2006). Taxonomy, biostratigraphy and phylogeny of Eocene

*Globigerina, Globoturborotalia, Subbotina* and *Turborotalia.* Atlas of Eocene Planktonic Foraminifera, Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 111-168.

Olsson, R.K. and Hemleben, C. (2006). Taxonomy, biostratigraphy and phylogeny of Eocene *Globanomalina*, *Planoglobanomalina* n. gen. and *Pseudohastigerina*. Atlas of Eocene Planktonic Foraminifera, Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 413- 432.

Palmer, D.K. (1934). The foraminiferal genus Gümbelina in the Tertiary of Cuba. Mémoire Société Cubana Histoire Naturelle 8: 73-76.

Parr, W.J. (1947). An Australian record of the foraminiferal genus *Hantkenina*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, Melbourne 58, 45-47.

Pearson, P.N. and Berggren, W.A. (2006). Taxonomy, biostratigraphy and phylogeny of *Morozovelloides* n. gen. (in Atlas of Eocene planktonic foraminifera). Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 327-342.

Pearson, P.N. and Chaisson, W.P. (1997). Late Paleocene to Middle Miocene foraminifer biostratigraphy of the Ceara Rise. Proceeding of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results 154: 33-68.

Pearson P.N., Olsson, R.K., Huber, B.T., Hemleben, C. and Berggren, W.A. (2006). Atlas of Eocene Planktonic Foraminifera, Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41:1–513.

Pearson, P.N. and Wade, B.S. (2015). Systematic taxonomy of exceptionally well-preserved planktonic foraminifera from the Eocene/Oligocene boundary of Tanzania. Cushman Foundation Special Publication 45:1–85.

Premoli Silva, I. and Spezzaferri, S. (1990). Paleogene planktonic foraminifer biostratigraphy and paleoenvironmental remarks on Paleogene sediments from Indian Ocean sites, Leg 115. Proceeding of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results 115: 277-314.

Premoli Silva, I., Wade, B.S. and Pearson, P.N. (2006). Taxonomy, Biostratigraphy and Phylogeny of *Globigerinatheca* and *Orbulinoides*. Atlas of Eocene planktonic foraminifera. Cushman Foundation Special Publication 41: 169-212.

Plummer, H.J. (1926). Foraminifera of the Midway Formation in Texas. Bulletin University of Texas 2644: 3-206.

Rögl, F. (1999). Mediterranean and Paratethys. Facts and hypotheses of an Oligocene to Miocene paleogeography (short overview). Geologica Carpathica 50 (4): 339-349.

Samanta, B.K. (1969). Eocene planktonic foraminifera from the Garo Hills, Assam, India. Micropaleontology 15: 325-350.

Samanta, B.K. (1970). Upper Eocene planktonic foraminifera from the Kopili Formation, Mikir Hills, Assam, India. Contribution from the Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research 21 (1): 28-39.

Salama Y., Sayed M., Saber S., and Abd El-Gaied I. (2021). Eocene planktonic foraminifera from the north Eastern Desert, Egypt: Biostratigraphic, paleoenvironmental and sequence stratigraphy implications. Palaeontologia Electronica 24 (1): 1-29.

Samuel, O. (1972). New species of planktonic foraminifera from the Paleogene of the west Carpathian in Slovakia (Czechoslovakia). Zbornik Geologick" ck. Vied Západné Karpaty 17: 165-221.

Shahin, A. (1998). Tertiary planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and paleobathymetry at Gebel Withr, southwestern Sinai, Egypt. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abh. 209 (3): 323-348.

Srinivasan, M.S. (1968). Late Eocene and Early Oligocene

planktonic foraminifera from Port Elizabeth and Cape Foulwind, New Zealand. Contributions from the Cushman Foundation for foraminiferal research 19 (4): 142-157.

Stainforth, R.M., Lamb, J.L., Luterbacher, H.P., Beard, J.H. and Jeffords, R.M. (1975). Cenozoic planktonic foraminiferal zonation and characteristics of index forms. Paleontological Contribution, University of Kansas 62: 163-425.

Subbotina, N.N. (1953). Iskopaeme foraminifery SSSR, Globigerinidy, Khantkeninidy i Globorotaliidy [Fossil foraminifers of the USSR, Globigeriniidae, Hantkeninidae and Globorotaliidae]. Trudy Vsesoyuznogo Neftyanogo Nauchoissledovatel'skogo Geologorazvedochnogo Instituta (VNIGRI), 76: 1-296 (in Russian, translated into English by E. Lees, 1971).

Sztrákos, K. (2000). Eocene foraminifers in the Adour Basin (Aquitaine, France): biostratigraphy and taxonomy. Revue de Micropaléontologie 43 (1-2): 71-172.

Toumarkine, M. (1978). Planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Paleogene of Sites 360 to 364 and the Neogene of Sites 362A, 363 and 364 Leg 40, *In* Bolli et al. (Eds.). Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Projects: U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 40: 679-721.

Toumarkine, M. and Bolli, H.M. (1970). Evolution de *Globorotalia cerroazulensis* (Cole) dans l'Eocene Moyan et Supérieur de Possagno (Italie). Revue de Micropaléontologie 13: 131-145.

Toumarkine, M. and Bolli, H.M. (1975). Foraminifères Planctoniques de l'Eocene Moyan et Supérieur de la Coupe de Possagno. Schweizerische Paläontologische Abhandlungen 97: 69-185.

Toumarkine, M. and Luterbacher, H.P. (1985). Paleocene and Eocene plaktonic foraminifera. *In*: Bolli, H.M. et al. (Eds.): Plankton Stratigraphy. Cambridge Earth 97: 69-83.

Vail, P.R., Mitchum, R.M. and Thompson III, S. (1977). Seismic stratigraphy and global changes of sea level. *In*: Payton, C.E. (Ed.), Stratigraphic Interpretation of Seismic Data. The American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 26: 83-97.

Wade. B.S. and Pearson P.N. (2008). Planktonic foraminiferal turnover, diversity fluctuations and geochemical signals across the Eocene/Oligocene boundary in Tanzania. Marine Micropaleontology 68: 244–255.

Wade, B.S., Pearson, P.N., Berggren, W.A. and Pälike, H. (2011). Review and revision of Cenozoic tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and calibration to the geomagnetic polarity and astronomical time scale. Earth-Science Reviews 104, 111–142.

Warraich, M.Y. and Ogasawara, K. (2001). Tethyan Paleocene-Eocene planktic foraminifera from the Rakhi Nala and Zinda Pir land sections of the Sulaiman Range, Pakistan. Science Reports of the Institute of Geoscience University of Tsukuba, section B 22: 1-59.

Warraich, M.Y., Ogasawara, K. and Nishi, H. (2000). Late Paleocene to Early Eocene planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Dungan Formation, Sulaiman Range, Central Pakistan. Paleontological Research 4: 275-301.

Weinzierl, L.L. and Applin, E.R. (1929). The Claiborne formation on the coastal domes. Journal of Paleontology 7. 384-410.



Figure 1. Location map of the study area at the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit, and also J. Malaqet and J. Mundassa, Al Ain area, UAE.



Figure 2. The schematic diagram of the upper part of Tle5 of Hunting, 1979 (= Mazyad Member of the Dammam Formation, after Hamdan and Bahr, 1992), which represents the Late Eocene succession of the studied section, sample nos. 17-22 (bed nos. 10-12), around Al Ain-Mazyad road, Al Ain area, UAE.



Figure 3. Stratigraphic ranges of the recorded Late Eocene planktonic foraminiferal species (E14-E16) of the upper Mazyad Member succession in the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit, Al Ain area, UAE.



Figure 4. Stratigraphic ranges of the Late Eocene taxa: Globigerinatheka semiinvoluta (.... points), Cribrohantkenina inflata (- - - dashed line), and Turborotalia cerroazulensis cunialensis (----- solid line) as recorded by some authors (after Anan, 1995).



Figure 5. The geographic distribution of the identified planktic foraminiferal species in the study section (North and South America, Atlantic Ocean), Northern Tethys (Europe), Southern Tethys (North Africa, South Asia, Australia, New Zealand).



**Figure 6.** Geographic distribution of the occurrence of some diagnostic Late Eocene planktic foraminiferal species: *Ci=Cribrohantkenina inflata, Tc=Turborotalia cunialensis. Ci* 1: Cushman (1925), *Ci* 2: Samanta (1969), *Ci* 3: Martinez-Gallego and Molina (1975), *Ci* 4: Toumarkine (1978), *Ci* 5: Coccioni (1988), *Ci* 6 (Anan et al., 1992), *Tc* 1: Toumarkine and Bolli (1970), *Tc* 2: Toumarkine (1978), *Tc* 3: Keller (1985), *Tc* 4: Coccioni et al. (1988), *Tc* 5: Anan et al. (1992).



Figure 7. Global Paleogene hiatuses: PHc (the Eocene/Oligocene boundary), PHd (within the Late Eocene, between early (E14) and middle Late Eocene (E15) (after Keller et al., 1987).



Figure 8. The thick intraformational conglomeratic bed (arrows, nearly vertical) at the top part of Ain Al Faydha Member (Tle4), followed by the Mazyad Member (Tle5) of the Dammam Formation (nearly at the Middle/Late Eocene boundary) in the eastern limb of Jabal Hafit (at the ground).



Figure 9. A diagnostic "head-like" rock consistutes a part of an intraformational conglomeratic limestone bed, which located between E14 and E15 in the studied section of J. Hafit, UAE (besides Al Ain-Mazyad asphalted road).



Plate 1. Fig. 1. Catapsydrax unicavus Bolli, Loeblich and Tappan, 1957, sample 19; 2. Subbotina gortanii (Borsetti, 1959), s. 19;
3. Subbotina jacksonensis (Bandy, 1949), s. 19; 4. S. linaperta (Finlay, 1939), s. 20; 5. S. utilisindex (Jenkins and Orr, 1973), s. 20; 6. S. yeguaensis (Weinzierl and Applin, 1929), s. 19; 7. Globigerinatheka index (Finlay, 1939), s. 21; 8. G. luterbacheri Bolli, 1972, s. 17; 9. G. semiinvoluta (Keijzer, 1945), s. 17.



Plate 2. Fig. 10. Cribrohantkenina inflata (Howe, 1928), s. 20; 11. Hantkenina alabamensis Cushman, 1924, s. 20; 12. H. compressa Parr, 1947, s. 19; 13. H. primitive Cushman and Jarvis, 1929, s. 20; 14. Dentoglobigerina galavisi (Bermúdez, 1961), s. 17; 15. D. pseudovenezuelana (Blow and Banner, 1962), s. 19; 16. D. tripartita (Koch, 1926), s. 20; 17. Turbrotalia ampliapertura (Bolli, 1957), s. 19; 18. T. cerroazulensis (Cole, 1928), s. s. 19.



Plate 3. Fig. 19. Turbrotalia cocoaensis (Cushman, 1928), s. 20; 20. T. sp., Transitional specimen between Turbrotalia cocoaensis and T. cunialensis, s. 20; 21. T. cunialensis (Toumarkine and Bolli, 1970), s. 22; 22. T. increbescens (Bandy, 1949), s. s.19; 23. T. pomeroli (Toumarkine and Bolli, 1970), s. 19; 24. T. pseudoampliapertura (Blow and Banner, 1962), s. s.20; 25. T. sinaiensis Haggag and Luterbacher, 1995, s. 17.

Table 1. Paleogeographic distribution of the Late Eocene planktic foraminifera in the United Arab Emirates (UAE): Jabal Hafit: H1 (The current study), H2 (Anan et al., 1992), H3 (Cherif et al., 1992), Malaqet, M (Anan, 1995); Oman, Q=Qatar section (Abdelghany, 2002), and other Northern and Southern Tethyan localities: A= Atlantic Ocean (Cushman, 1925; Brönnimann, 1952; Stainforth et al, 1975; Keller, 1985; Pearson et al., 2006), Northern Tethys: S= Spain (Molina et al., 2006, Molina, 2015), F= France (Sztrákos, 2000), Y= Italy (Toumarkine and Bolli, 1975; Coccioni, 1988; Coccioni et al., 1988); CC= Carpathica, Caucasus (Samuel, 1972) Southern Tethys: T=
Tanzania (Wade and Pearson, 2008); L= Libya (El Khoudary and Helmdach, 1981; Imam, 1999); E= Egypt (Abdel-Kireem, 1983; Haggag, 1992; Haggag and Luterbacher, 1995; Haggag and Bolli, 1996; Shahin, 1998); R= Syria (Hernitz Kučenjak et al., 2006), UAE=United Arab Emirates (H1. The current study, H2. Anan et al., 1992, H3. Cherif et al., 1992, M=Malaqet), O= Oman, Jabal Qatar (Abdelghany, 2002); P= Pakistan (Haque, 1956; Warraich et al., 2000; Warraich and Ogasawara, 2001); I= India (Samanta, 1969, 1970, Mukhopadhyay, 2005); IO= Indian Ocean (Premoli Silva and Spezzaferri, 1990), NA= New Zealand (Srinivasan, 1968, Jenkins, 1971) and Australia (Parr, 1947), PO= Pacific Ocean (Pearson et al., 2006). Θ\_ illustrated species, x\_ recorded species, -\_ not recorded species.

Sp.	Sp. Late Eocene No. planktic foraminiferal species		A O	s	F	Y	C C	Т	L	Е	R	UAE O					Р	Ι	I O	N A	P O
No.												Н 1	Н 2	Н 3	М	Q					
1	Catapsydrax	dissimilis	х	x	x	-	-	x	x	x	-	x	х	-	-	-	x	x	x	-	x
2		unicavus	х	x	-	-	-	х	x	x	x	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	x	x
3	Subbotina	angiporoides	x	x	-	x	x	-	-	x	-	x	х	-	x	-	-	-	x	x	-
4		corpulenta	х	x	х	-	-	х	-	x	-	х	х	-	x	x	-	х	x	-	х
5		eocaena	х	x	х	x	x	х	x	x	-	х	х	х	x	x	-	х	-	x	-
6		gortanii	х	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	-	Θ	х	-	x	x	-	x	x	-	-
7		jacksonensis	х	x	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8		linaperta	х	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	-	Θ	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	х	x
9		utilisindex	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	x	-	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	x
10		yeguaensis	x	x	-	-	x	x	x	x	-	Θ	x	-	x	-	x	x	x	x	x
11	Globigerinatheka	index	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	-	Θ	-	-	x	-	-	x	x	x	x
12		luterbacheri	х	x	-	x		-	-	x	-	Θ	x	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	x
13		semiinvoluta	х	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	-	Θ	x	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	x
14		tropicalis	х	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	-	x	х	-	-	-	-	x	x	x	x
15	Cribrohantkenina	inflata	x	x	-	x	x	x	-	x	x	Θ	x	x	x	-	-	x	x	-	-
16	Hantkenina	alabamensis	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	Θ	х	х	x	-	-	х	x	х	-
17		compressa	x	x	-	x	-	х	-	x	-	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	-
18		primitiva	х	x	-	x	x	х	x	x	-	Θ	х	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	-
19	Dentoglobigerina	galavisi	х	x	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	- 1	x
20		pseudovenezuelana	-	x	-	-	x	х	-	x	-	Θ	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21		tripartita	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	Θ	х	х	x	-	-	х	x	-	x
22	Pseudohastigerina	micra	х	x	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	х	х	х	x	-	х	х	x	х	х
23	Turborotalia	ampliapertura	х	-	-	х	х	х	x	x	-	Θ	х	-	x	-	-	х	x	x	x
24		cerroazulensis	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	Θ	х	х	х	-	-	х	x	х	х
25		cocoaensis	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	Θ	х	х	x	х	-	х	x	-	x
26		.sp	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27		cunialensis	х	-	x	x	-	х	-	x	-	Θ	х	-	x	x	-	x	x	-	-
28		increbescens	х	х	х	-	х	х	x	x	х	Θ	-	х	-	-	-	х	x	х	х
29		pomeroli	-	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x	Θ	x	x	x	-	-	-	x	-	x
30		pseudoampliapertura	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	x	-	Θ	х	-	х	-	-	х	x	х	-
31		sinaiensis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	Θ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	Chiloguembelina	cubensis	х	x	-	-	-	-	-	x	x	x	х	x	x	-	-	x	-	x	x