

# Characterization of Pozzolana from Tafila area and its potential use as soil amendment for plant growth

Reyad Ali Al Dwairi\*

*Department of Natural Resources and Chemical Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Tafila Technical University, Tafila, Jordan.*

## Abstract

Volcanic tuff (VT) (Pozzolana) deposits are available in huge quantities in Tafila area. Al Hala Volcano (HV) is one of the biggest deposits for VT located in southern Jordan which was chosen in this study. Characterization of zeolitic tuff (ZT) minerals is the main goal of this study in addition to the agricultural application for possible usage as soil amendment and improvement. Field studies indicate that VT is highly altered to ZT due to percolating alkaline water. ZT is characterized by highly weathered, friable and range in color according to zeolitization process and chemical composition to many different colors. The three main ZT types are reddish (RZT), brownish (BZT) and grayish (GZT). Mineralogical studies indicate that VT is composed mainly of three mineral components: Volcanic glass (palagonit), primary rock forming minerals (idingsite and diobside) and secondary rock forming minerals (zeolites, calcite and clay minerals). The main zeolites minerals in HV are phillipsite and chabazite. The ZT from HV shows acceptable pH with high cation exchange capacity range from (189 meq/gm) for RZT to (136 meq/gm) for GZT. Geochemical studies indicate that ZT from HV has low Na<sub>2</sub>O% ranges between 0.34% - 1.44% with a high percentage of important oxides such as Ca, Mg, K, Mn, and Al. The type of Tafila Soil (TS) is silty clay texture which is considered as heavy soil as indicated by size fractionation analysis results.

The ZT from HV is evaluated for agricultural applications by using RZT and GZT as soil amendments for planting tomatoes and pepper vegetables. The mixture with the ratio of 50:50 TS:RZT results shows increasing in terms of growth, yield and roots assemblages for both vegetables.

© 2014 Jordan Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences. All rights reserved

**Keywords:** Pozzolana, Al Hala volcano, Volcanic Tuff, Zeolites, Phillipsite, Soil Amendment, Plant growth.

## 1. Introduction and Background

Pozzolana is the commercial name of the igneous rocks named volcanic tuff (VT). The mineral content of VT varies from geological bed to another bed based on the weathering rate and zeolitization processes which reflect the quantity of secondary minerals associated with volcanic tuff. Zeolites are the most important minerals associated with volcanic tuff, so the name of the volcanic tuff enriched with zeolites is zeolitic volcanic tuff or zeolitic tuff (ZT).

Zeolites are hydrated aluminum silicate framework in which its structure contains channels or pores filled with exchangeable cations and some percentage of water (Mumpton 1978). They characterized by availability, low cost, high ion exchange capacity, excellent adsorption properties and slow release fertilizers. Such important properties make zeolites a good solution as a natural alternative of other used soil fertilizers and amendments. Identification of zeolite as a mineral goes back to 1756, by the Swedish mineralogist, Fredrich Cronstet (Gottardi, 1978). In the world, their commercial production and use started in 1960s, but in Jordan they were discovered for the first time in 1987 by Dwairi in north east area (Arytain Volcano). Jordan has important zeolitic tuff production potentials and reserves that cover large areas that are distributed in three main locations north east, central and south Jordan (Al Dwairi 2007).

The application of natural zeolitic tuff as soil conditioner

and fertilizer has known by the Japanese for over a hundred year. Mumpton (1985) discussed the zeolite potential for the use as a source for slow release of fertilizers such as N and K. The zeolitic tuff (soil conditioners) is also used to reduce the agriculture pollution. Al Dwairi and Al-Rawajfeh (2012) listed the recent patents of natural zeolites and their applications in environment, agriculture and Pharmaceutical Industry.

In Jordan zeolites, ZT, and VT have been studied widely for their mineralogy, petrology and their environmental applications. The most important studies were carried out by Dwairi (1987), who was the first to discover zeolites in Jabal Aritain, Ibrahim (1993), who accomplished a lot of mapping, geological and geochemical work, and Khoury, et al (2015) summaries new Neogene – Quaternary zeolitization of the volcanic tuff in Jordan.

Jordanian phillipsitic tuff of Aritain area was suggested for a possible use as a soil amendment (conditioner) in agriculture (Reshiedat, 1991), furthermore Dwairi (1998) used zeolitic phillipsite tuff from Aritain as slow release fertilizer, and he evaluated the exchange and release properties of the natural phillipsite tuff by using NH<sub>4</sub>-Na system. Ghir (1998) has evaluated the Jordanian Phillipsitic tuff from Aritain (north east Jordan) and Mukawer (Central Jordan) in agriculture as soil conditioner for planting strawberry under green houses.

Manolov, et al (2005) used Jordanian zeolitic tuff from Aritain (north east Jordan) as raw material for the preparation of

\* Corresponding author. e-mail: reyadn@hotmail.com

substrates used for plant growth. The research study concluded that the Jordanian zeolitic tuff has specific properties – high CEC, high content of macro and microelements which makes them one of good alternatives to the traditional potting media.

Al Dwairi (2007) reported new occurrences in the northeast, central, and south of Jordan. The research explored and studied the characterization (mineralogy, petrology and geochemistry) of all possible locations of volcanic tuff in Jordan. Also, in 2009 Al Dwairi et al studied the mineralogy and authigenesis of zeolitic tuff from Tall-Juhira and Tall Amir, in the south of Jordan. New occurrences in northeast, central and south Jordan were later reported by Ghirir (1998), Tarawneh, (2004), Al Dwairi (2007), Al Dwairi et al. (2009) and Al Dwairi and Sharadqah (2014).

The soil of Tafila (TS) area is very poor in terms of minerals necessary for plants growth and also the rainfall percentage is very low, consequently zeolites as a soil conditioner can play a significant role in improving the soil characterization which will be reflected upon agricultural production.



Figure 1: Soil from Al Eis area (Tafila).

Tafila area considered as arid to semiarid regions with a short rainy winter season with an average annual precipitation of 100 mm. The soil of Tafila shown in Fig.(1) is characterized by soft grains, high porosity and low permeability, so this type of soil is called the heavy soil, consequently zeolites as a soil conditioner plays a significant role in improving the physical and chemical characterization of soil which will be reflected upon agricultural sector in Al Tafila.




Figure 2: Location map of the southern Jordan basaltic tuff showing the Al Hala Volcano (after Al Dwairi 2007).

To enhance and improve Tafila soil, this research proposes the ZT from HV to be mixed with these soils. There are huge amounts of zeolitic minerals in Al Hala Volcano (HV) (Fig. 2) without investigation or characterization as agricultural fertilizers and amendments. The main problem is the lack of

information about these zeolites and their mineral content in HV. In addition to the promising future of using zeolite as agricultural soil conditioner. This encourages the present researcher to extend their production scope to include the soil conditioners and amendments.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Materials

The used material in this study is VT obtained from the Great Company of Mining and Agriculture (GC) quarry in HV. Six bulk samples (10 Kg) were collected from the quarry for the characterization analyses and two main bulk Samples (1 Ton) were chosen for the agricultural experiments, Table 1. The grain size fraction (1-0.3mm) was used in the agricultural experiments because of the highest zeolitic content (50-70%) (Al Dwairi 2007). The used soil was collected locally from Al Eis area (TS).

Table 1: Sampling and the used laboratory techniques.

| # | Type         | Color          | Thin section | XRD | SEM | XRF | Agricultural application |
|---|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------------|
| 1 | RZT1         | Reddish        | X            | X   | X   | X   | X                        |
| 2 | BZT2         | Brownish       | X            | X   | X   | X   | -                        |
| 3 | LBZT3        | Light Brown    | X            | X   | X   | X   | -                        |
| 4 | GZT4         | Grayish        | X            | X   | X   | X   | X                        |
| 5 | LGZT5        | Light gray     | X            | X   | X   | X   | -                        |
| 6 | VT6          | Black          | X            | X   | X   | X   | -                        |
| 7 | TS (Control) | Yalow to white | ---          | --- | --- | X   | X                        |

### 2.2. Methods

The exploration of zeolites includes many exploration trips, sample collecting, cross section, and mapping. The characterization of the volcanic tuff have been carried out using using different analytical methods including thin section, X Ray Diffraction (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), and X Ray Fluorescence (XRF) (Table 1). Finally the results studied and analyzed to evaluate the ability of using zeolites as soil amendment then to start a series of agricultural experiments by using mixtures of soil and zeolites with different ratios. The used soil was thoroughly characterized using chemical analysis, physical properties and grain size distribution.

### 2.3. Agricultural Experimental Setup

The Agricultural experimental setup was basically carried out by using RZT and GZT for a primary experiments as indicated in table (2) and Fig. (3). The area of agricultural experiment was divided into five equal area tubs (1m\*1m). Tomato and Pepper were chosen as example for important vegetation in Jordan. 17/6/2013 was the starting day were the different tubs planted and 17/10/2013 was the end day. The irrigation program was carried out one time peer week for all tubs.

Table 2: Agricultural experiment setup parameters.

| Type | Grain size (mm) | Mixing Ratio TS:ZT | pH for Type | Plant Name     |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| RZT1 | 1-0.3           | 75:25              | 7.8         | Tomato+ Pepper |
| RZT2 | 1-0.3           | 50:50              | 8           | Tomato+ Pepper |
| GZT3 | 1-0.3           | 75:25              | 7.9         | Tomato+ Pepper |
| GZT4 | 1-0.3           | 50:50              | 8           | Tomato+ Pepper |
| TS   | Normal          | -----              | 7.7         | Tomato+ Pepper |

|                 |                 |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| RZT:TS<br>75:25 | GZT:TS<br>75:25 | Normal TS<br>(Controlled Tub)<br>( without mixing) |
| RZT:TS<br>50:50 | GZT:TS<br>50:50 |  |

**Figure 3:** Configuration for the agricultural experiments using tomato and pepper tubs.

**3. Results and Discussion**


**3.1. Zeolitic Tuff Exploration Results**

HV has a height of 1673m above the sea level. field inspection studies indicate that the VT has a thickness of (70m) with a reserve of 16 million tons. A soil bed was recognized on the top of the VT section with about 70 cm in thickness. The northern part of HV is an open mine for the GC for the production of pozzolana for cement industry.

The primary observation of the VT shows that the pyroclastics is highly altered to ZT due to the arid environment and alkaline percolating water. The field investigation and the lithological section shows that the VT is bad sorted, bad cemented, and dominated by large bombs. The VT cross section in the mine is characterized by the absence of bedding with a variety of vertical colors (red, brown, gray, and black) refers for the high alteration and weathering (Fig. 4). The natural zeolite in the area of discovery was formed by the reaction of volcanic glass with percolating alkaline water leading to provide a white gel rim of aluminum silicate (zeolite) filling the cavities of the volcanic tuff (Fig. 5)




**Figure 4:** Cross section of VT in HV (The GC quarry).



**Figure 5:** Reddish zeolitic tuff sample from HV.


**3.2. Zeolitic Tuff Characterization Results**

Thin section results indicate that VT is highly altered to ZT. Fig. (6) shows that the ZT is composed of palagonite matrix, iron oxides, zeolites, clay minerals, and calcite.




**Figure 6:** Thin section of VT from HV.

The surface morphology of ZT was examined by SEM and is presented in Fig. 7. The figure shows assemblages of zeolite minerals such as phillipsite and chabazite in addition to clay minerals. The powder X Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis (using Cu KR as the source for X-rays) of VT was performed for 10 samples from the GC quarry. The XRD patterns of VT are presented in Figure 8. The results show that the VT is composed of zeolitic minerals (phillipsite and chabazite) and other assemblages of minerals such as: (palagonite, smectite, iron oxides and calcite).



**Figure 7:** SEM for ZT from HV.



**Figure 8:** X-Ray Diffraction pattern for ZT from HV.

The chemical composition of black volcanic tuff (BVT), reddish zeolitic tuff (RZT) and grayish zeolitic tuff (GRT) are determined by using X Ray Fluorescence (XRF) apparatus. The results are listed in table 3. The chemical analysis indicates that the ZT from HV contains many elements such as Mg, Mn, Ca, K and Al. Furthermore the silica percentage for the BVT is the highest with an average of (41%) and range between (42.10%) to (40.30%), while the lowest percentage

was for the RZT with an average of (40%) and values range between (41.70%) to (39.60%).

The most important oxide in the agriculture issue is  $\text{Na}_2\text{O}_3$ . The results show very low percentage values for ZT ranging between (0.345%) and (0.67) with an average of (0.51), while it's high in the BVT with an average of (3.58%) and values ranging between (4.12%) and (3.03%).

**Table 3:** Chemical composition (wt %) for volcanic tuff from HV.

| Color   | Sample # | $\text{SiO}_2$ | $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$ | $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ | MgO  | $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | $\text{K}_2\text{O}$ | CaO  | MnO   | $\text{TiO}_2$ | $\text{P}_2\text{O}_3$ | $\text{CO}_2$ | Sum   |
|---------|----------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|-------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Reddish | RZT1     | 41.70          | 0.521                 | 15.50                   | 6.67 | 15.60                   | 0.94                 | 7.62 | 0.199 | 3.28           | 0.700                  | 6.80          | 99.53 |
|         | RZT2     | 40.80          | 0.670                 | 15.80                   | 7.23 | 16.90                   | 0.80                 | 6.70 | 0.210 | 3.17           | 0.900                  | 6.10          | 99.28 |
|         | RZT3     | 39.60          | 0.340                 | 16.01                   | 6.89 | 16.17                   | 0.82                 | 8.10 | 0.220 | 3.50           | 0.810                  | 6.90          | 99.36 |
| Grayish | GZT1     | 38.20          | 1.44                  | 13.20                   | 6.44 | 14.60                   | 0.58                 | 11.6 | 0.178 | 3.37           | 0.728                  | 9.00          | 99.33 |
|         | GZT2     | 39.30          | 1.20                  | 14.50                   | 6.90 | 15.70                   | 0.71                 | 8.70 | 0.240 | 3.41           | 0.691                  | 8.00          | 99.35 |
|         | GZT3     | 40.00          | 1.60                  | 13.70                   | 6.70 | 15.10                   | 0.92                 | 9.60 | 0.191 | 3.52           | 0.710                  | 7.61          | 99.65 |
| Black   | BVT1     | 40.30          | 3.03                  | 15.60                   | 8.07 | 12.20                   | 0.50                 | 8.90 | 2.203 | 3.84           | 0.205                  | 4.20          | 99.05 |
|         | BVT2     | 42.10          | 4.12                  | 12.39                   | 9.97 | 11.33                   | 1.02                 | 9.70 | 1.801 | 3.17           | 0.600                  | 3.20          | 99.40 |
|         | BVT3     | 41.80          | 3.90                  | 13.45                   | 8.70 | 11.90                   | 0.80                 | 8.20 | 2.530 | 3.67           | 0.320                  | 4.70          | 99.97 |

Ion exchange capacity (CEC) is an important property for zeolites to be used as an agricultural amendment. The three main zeolitic tuff types (RZT, BZT and GZT) from HV were subjected to the CEC measurements. The ZT was sieved into the grain size of (1-0.3) mm which has the highest zeolitic minerals content (Al Dwairi 2007). Table (4) shows the results of the CEC values expressed by meq/100gm. The ZT from HV has a high CEC. The highest CEC value is obtained for RZT (189), while the CEC for BZT is (132). The lowest value or CEC obtained was for GZT (118). pH ranges from 8 to 7.7 for Pozzolana, TS and different mixing types (Table 2).

**Table 4:** The CEC values for (1-0.3mm) ZT from HV.

| Color    | Sample # | CEC Meq/100gm | Average |
|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Reddish  | RZT1     | 167           | 170     |
|          | RZT2     | 175           |         |
|          | RZT3     | 189           |         |
| Brownish | BZT1     | 132           | 129     |
|          | BZT2     | 127           |         |
|          | BZT3     | 129           |         |
| Grayish  | GZT1     | 118           | 115     |
|          | GZT2     | 115           |         |
|          | GZT3     | 113           |         |

### 3.3. Tafila Soil Characterization Results

The various physical and chemical properties for Tafila soil are shown in Tables (5) and (6). The results of size distribution indicate that the clay size is the highest with a percentage ranges between (45.1) and (46.2), the silt size range between (45.3) and ( 42.8), and the sand size range between (9.0) and (11.2); according to sieve analysis the texture of this soil is silty clay. The chemical analysis shows a good percentage of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  ranges between (27.6) and (26.1).

**Table 5:** The particle size distribution for TS.

| Size fraction | TS1 (%) | TS2 (%) | TS3 (%) |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sand          | 9.1     | 10.2    | 10.3    |
| Silt          | 45.3    | 44.3    | 42.8    |
| Clay          | 45.1    | 45.2    | 46.2    |
| Total         | 99.5    | 99.7    | 99.3    |

**Table 6:** Physical and chemical characteristics of the Tafila soil (Al Eis area).

| Oxides (%)              | TS at depth 10 cm | TS at depth 20 cm | TS at depth 30 cm |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\text{SiO}_2$          | 52.60             | 51.89             | 52.21             |
| $\text{Na}_2\text{O}$   | 0.41              | 0.37              | 0.31              |
| $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ | 4.17              | 8.81              | 9.71              |
| MgO                     | 2.77              | 3.10              | 3.51              |
| $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | 8.12              | 7.98              | 8.52              |
| $\text{K}_2\text{O}$    | 1.48              | 1.55              | 1.68              |
| CaO                     | 18.02             | 18.83             | 18.80             |
| MnO                     | 0.07              | 0.06              | 0.06              |
| $\text{TiO}_2$          | 0.71              | 0.76              | 0.78              |
| pH                      | 7.7               | 7.7               | 7.7               |
| EC                      | 1.30              | 0.96              | 0.81              |
| $\text{CaCO}_3\%$       | 26.9              | 27.6              | 26.1              |

### 3.4. Agricultural Experiments Observation

The agricultural results expressed by using comparison between the different parameters (growing, yield and root assemblages) are carried out for different planted tubs (Table 7). All the mixed ZT tubs compared with the controlled TS gave good results for improving different growing parameters. The primary experiments for tubs with the mixing ratio of 50: 50 TS: ZT show best results observed for tomato and pepper vegetables. The results of the majority of the agricultural

experiments are shown in figures (9, 10, 11, 12, and 13).

**Table 7:** The comparison between planted tubs after 3 months planting.

| Vegetables | Tub          | Average plant height (cm) | Plant Root assemblages | Yield                  |
|------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Tomato     | 50:50 TS:RZT | 1.32                      | Long, distributed,     | Available and observed |
|            | 75:25 TS:RZT | 95                        |                        |                        |
|            | 50:50 TS:GZT | 1.12                      |                        |                        |
|            | 75:25 TS:GZT | 80                        | Condense, short,       | No yield observed      |
| Pepper     | TS (Control) | 28                        | Condense, Short,       | No yield observed      |
|            | 50:50 TS:RZT | 80                        | Long, distributed,     | Available and observed |
|            | 75:25 TS:RZT | 50                        |                        |                        |
|            | 50:50 TS:GZT | 70                        |                        |                        |
|            | 75:25 TS:GZT | 45                        | Condense, Short,       | No yield observed      |



**Figure 9:** Tomato planted in RZT and TS with a mixing ratio of 50:50 after 3 months.



**Figure 10:** Tomato planted in GZT and TS with a mixing ratio of 50:50 after 3 months.



**Figure 11:** pepper planted in RZT and TS with a mixing ratio of 25:75 after 3 months.



**Figure 12:** Tomato Roots planted in RZT and TS with a mixing ratio of 50:50 after 3 months.



**Figure 13:** Pepper roots planted in RZT and TS with a mixing ratio of 50:50 after 3 months.

**4. Conclusions and Recommendations**

The Jordanian natural ZT from HV is suitable for agricultural applications due to its low Na<sub>2</sub>O %, high cation exchange, suitable mineral content, and stable pH. ZT is therefore used to promote better plant growth by improving the value of fertilizers. They retain valuable plant growth and improve the quality of the soil and can also be used as a slow release fertilizer or a soil amendment. When applying ZT to agricultural production, one should emphasize that their natural source suitable and is of uniform characteristics and unique properties such as cation exchange capacity, pH, and Na content.

The results of this study strongly suggest the use of ZT from HV as a soil amendment to improve the soil properties. Also, more preparation and processing for ZT are recommended. For wide application in the agricultural sector a production of agricultural commodity is needed.

For the sake of commercial purpose, extensive research that pertains agricultural experiments using mixtures of soil and zeolites is highly needed and recommended.

### Acknowledgement

The author expresses special thanks to Mr. Mousa Al Qaisi, the manager of The GC for his assistant and support. Also, the author is deeply grateful for the staff of the GC for their corporation and help. Thanks and appreciations extend to Faculty for Factory program.

### References

- [1] Al Dwairi, R., 2007, Characterization of the Jordanian zeolitic tuff and its potential use in Khirbet es Samra wastewater treatment plant. Unpublished PhD Thesis, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan, 185 P.
- [2] Al Dwairi, R., Khoury, H., and Ibrahim, K., 2009, Mineralogy and Authigenesis of Zeolitic Tuff from Tall-Juhira and Tall Amir, South Jordan. *Jordan Journal of Earth and Environmental Sciences*, 2, 81- 88.
- [3] Al Dwairi, R., and Al-Rawajfeh, A., 2012, Recent Patents of Natural Zeolites Applications in Environment, Agriculture and Pharmaceutical Industry. *Recent Patents on Chemical Engineering*, 5, 20-27.
- [4] Al Dwairi, R., Sharadqah, I., 2014. Mineralogy, geochemistry and volcanology of volcanic tuff rocks from Jabal Hulait Al Gran, South of Jordan (New Occurrence). *Jordan J. Civil Eng* 8, 2.
- [5] Dwairi, I., 1987, A chemical study of the palagonitic tuffs of the Aritain area of Jordan, with special reference to nature, origin and industrial potential of the associated zeolite deposits. PhD thesis, Hull University, UK, 408 P.
- [6] Dwairi, I., 1998, Evaluation of Jordanian zeolite tuff as a controlled slow-release fertilizer for NH<sub>4</sub>. *Environmental Geology*, Springer, 34, 293-296.
- [7] Ghirir, A., 1998, The distribution, nature, origin, and economic potential of zeolite deposits in Uneiza, Mukawir, and Tell Hassan of Jordan. Unpublished M. Sc. Thesis, university of Jordan, Amman, Jordan. 169 p.
- [8] Gottardi, G., 1978, Mineralogy and crystal chemistry of zeolites: In sand, L. B., and Mumpton, F. A. *Natural Zeolites: Occurrence, Properties, and Uses*. Pergamon press, 331- 44.
- [9] Ibrahim, K., 1993, The geological framework for the Harrat Ash-Shaam Basaltic super-group and its volcano tectonic evolution. Natural Resources Authority, Geological Mapping Division, bulletin 25, Amman, 33 P.
- [10] Khoury, H. N., Ibrahim, H., Al Dwairi, M., and Torrente, R., 2015, Wide spread zeolitization of the Neogene – Quaternary volcanic tuff in Jordan. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* ,101, Pp420–429 (In Publication)
- [11] Manolov I., Antonov D., Stoilov G., Tsareva I., and Baev M., 2005, Jordanian Zeolitic Tuff as a Raw Material For The Preparation of Substrates Used For Plant Growth. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 6, 485-494.
- [12] Mumpton, F., 1978, Natural Zeolitization: A new industrial mineral commodity: In sand, L. B., and Mumpton, F. A. *Natural Zeolites: Occurrence, Properties, and Uses*. Pergamon press, 330 P.
- [13] Mumpton, F., 1985, Development uses for natural zeolites: a critical commentary. In: D. Kalló and H. S. Sherry (eds.) *Occurrence, properties and utilization of natural zeolites*. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 333-366.
- [14] Reshidat, R., 1991, Evaluation of natural phillipsite tuff for agricultural application. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan, 212 P.
- [15] Tarawneh, K. 2004. Mineralogy and petrography of new occurrences deposits of the zeolitic tuff in northeast Jordan. University of Mining and Geology “St. Ivan Rilski”. Annual Vol. 47, part 1, Geology and Geophysics, 27-32, Sofia-Bulgaria.